

## 30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0)20 7246 6410 Fax: +44 (0)20 7246 6411 Accounting Standards

E-mail: iasb@iasb.org Website: www.iasb.org

## **International Board**

This document is provided as a convenience to observers at IASB meetings, to assist them in following the Board's discussion. It does not represent an official position of the IASB. Board positions are set out in Standards.

These notes are based on the staff papers prepared for the IASB. Paragraph numbers correspond to paragraph numbers used in the IASB papers. However, because these notes are less detailed, some paragraph numbers are not used.

## INFORMATION FOR OBSERVERS

**Board Meeting:** 18 September 2008, London

**Project: Insurance Contracts** 

**Subject:** Timetable (agenda paper 14C)

## Purpose of this paper

1. This paper includes an updated timetable for the Board's discussion on insurance contracts.

Topic	Timing
<b>Educational Session on the Key features of a Settlement notion</b>	September
If the Board decides to consider a settlement notion as a	2008
candidate for the measurement attribute, what would the key	
features of such a notion be? Key features include the following	
points:	
What would be an appropriate (concise) label for a settlement	
notion?	
When could entity-specific cash flows differ from market-	
participant cash flows?	
What should be the basis for the estimates: fully entity-specific	
or a mixture of entity-specific and market-based?	
If the estimates are to be a or a mixture of entity specific and	

Topic	Timing
market based, what should be the principle to decide what type	
of estimates should be used?	
• What would be the objective of a risk margin under a settlement	
notion?	
Would day one profits still be possible under a settlement	
notion?	
Other relevant projects: concepts (measurement), fair value	
measurements, non-financial liabilities (IAS 37), revenue	
recognition	

То	pic	Timing
Ed	lucational Session on the key features Measurement attribute	October
•	Staff will present the main features of a measurement attribute	2008
	to the Board; no decisions are expected from the board at this	
	stage. The features include:	
•	Basis of the measurement attribute, e.g.:	
	o Current Exit Value as per DP <sup>1</sup>	
	o Current Exit Value with some modifications	
	<ul> <li>A Fulfilment based attribute</li> </ul>	
•	Risk Margins and Day one profit	
	o What will be the objective for the risk margin?	
	o Should there be a service margin? If so, what is its	
	objective?	
	o If day 1 profits occur, how should they be treated?	
	o How should the margin be released to the performance	
	statement?	
•	Basis for estimates:	
	o Should liability measurement include (a) entity-specific	
	estimates (b) market-participant estimates?	
	o What does the resulting liability measurement represent	
	and how does it affect reported performance?	
•	What attribute should be used for:	
	o non-life insurance pre-claims liabilities?	
	o non-life claims liabilities?	
	o other insurance liabilities?	
	o reinsurance liabilities and reinsurance assets?	
	o insurance assets of policyholders?	
•	Should the credit characteristics of insurance liabilities affect	
	their measurement?	
•	For reinsurance assets, should an expected loss or an incurred	
	loss model be used?	
•	What might be the implications for measurement of assets	
	backing the insurance liabilities?	
	3 of 7	

Topic	Timing
Future premiums and policyholder behaviour	November 2008
• If the unit of recognition is individual rights and obligations: is	
the guaranteed insurability test appropriate?	
If the unit of recognition is the whole contract: where is the	
boundary between existing contracts and new contracts?	
Other relevant projects: revenue recognition, financial instruments,	
leases, concepts (elements, recognition)	
Policyholder participation - classification	November 2008
• If the unit of recognition is individual rights and obligations:	
when should participation features be classified as equity and	
when should they be classified as liabilities? How should	
participation features be reported in the statements of financial	
position, financial performance and cash flows?	
Are there any specific issues for mutuals?	
Other relevant projects: concepts (elements, recognition), liabilities	
and equity	
Meeting of Insurance Working Group	November 2008
(input on proposed measurement attributes discussed at October	
Educational Board Session)	
Main features of the Measurement attribute	December 2008
The Board will discuss and reach a conclusion on the main features	
of the measurement attribute based on the October Educational	
Board Session.	
Other issues on the building blocks	January 2009
Is more guidance needed on discount rates? (may need to be	
addressed earlier on)	
Guarantee fund assessments	
• Tax issues	
Salvage and subrogation	
Other relevant projects: non-financial liabilities (IAS 37), income	
taxes, fair value measurements	
Inconsistencies with IAS 39 and IAS 18	February 2009

To	pic	Timing
0	For some or all financial liabilities and investment management	
	contracts, should the Board eliminate some or all	
	inconsistencies between the insurance contracts model and the	
	models in IAS 39 and IAS 18?	
0	Should any changes be made to the measurement attribute of	
	assets held to back insurance contracts? (see separate discussion	
	for participating, unit-linked and index-linked contracts).	
Ot	her relevant projects: revenue recognition, financial instruments	
Po	licyholder accounting – initial review	February 2009
•	Initial review of whether the same measurement attribute is	
	appropriate for policyholders as insurers.	
•	If the same measurement attribute is appropriate, consider	
	whether practical shortcuts are needed.	
Ot	her relevant projects: concepts (measurement, unit of account),	
fai	r value measurements, non-financial liabilities (IAS 37)	
Po	licyholder participation - measurement	March 2009
•	Consider specific measurement issues for participation features.	
•	Do we need to amend the IFRS 4 definition of a discretionary	
	participation feature (DPF)?	
•	Should investment contracts with a DPF be in the scope of the	
	insurance standard or IAS 39?	
•	Are there any specific issues for mutuals?	
Ot	her relevant projects: concepts (measurement), fair value	
me	easurements, financial instruments, liabilities and equity	
Pa	rticipating, unit-linked and index-linked insurance contracts	March 2009
an	d investment contracts and universal life contracts	
0	Should accounting mismatches be eliminated? If so, how?	
0	If assets are held in separate funds, are they part of the reporting	
	entity?	
0	If policyholders bear part or all of the investment risk, how	
	should this affect presentation and disclosure?	
Ot	her relevant projects: concepts (unit of account), financial	

Topic	Timing
instruments, consolidation, concepts (reporting entity)	
Recognition and derecognition	April 2009
o When should an insurer recognise an insurance liability?	
o When should a cedant recognise reinsurance assets, especially	y
if the underlying direct contracts have a different coverage	
period?	
o When should an insurer derecognise insurance liabilities and	
reinsurance assets?	
Other relevant projects: concepts (recognition and derecognition)	,
derecognition, revenue recognition	
Definition and scope	April 2009
• Should the IFRS 4 definition of an insurance contract change	?
• Financial guarantee contracts	
• Should existing scope exclusions continue? Should new scop	oe e
exclusions be added?	
Catastrophe bonds and alternative risk transfer	
Other relevant projects: financial instruments, revenue recognition	n,
pensions	
Disclosure	May 2009
• What disclosures should be required?	
Other relevant projects: presentation of financial statements	
Minor issues	May 2009
• Insurance contracts acquired in business combinations and	
portfolio transfers	
• Should some income taxes be reported as taxes on	
policyholders, rather than as taxes on the insurer?	
Interim reporting	
• Transition, including transition for assets backing insurance	
contracts.	
Consequential amendments	
Other relevant projects: presentation of financial statements	
Policyholder accounting – follow up	May 2009

Topic	Timing
Review initial conclusions on policyholder accounting	
Other relevant projects: non-financial liabilities (IAS 37)	
Pre balloting	July/August
	2009
Sweep issues	September
	2009
Publication of Exposure Draft	October 2009
Comments due	February 2010
Summary of comments	April 2010
Discussion of Issues from comment letters	May 2010 –
• The issues, both the content and the total number, can not be	January 2011
estimated at this stage	
• But we probably need to bring something to the Board every 1-	
2 months	
Pre balloting	February/Marc
	h 2011
Sweep	April 2011
Publication of final standard	May 2011