Meeting summary

The Board will continue its discussion of the “11 steps” that the Board started discussing at its November 2011 meeting as well as additional issues with respect to an accounting solution for macro hedging activity. At this meeting the Board will discuss two topics:

1. Credit risk and floating legs in hedging derivatives: How should fair value changes in hedging derivatives from floating legs and credit risk be treated within an accounting solution for macro hedging activity?
2. Unrecognised hedged items: Could unrecognised hedged items included in a portfolio for interest rate risk management be included in the accounting revaluation portfolio?
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The recommendations made in staff papers do not necessarily reflect the views of the assigned Board members.