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Objective

1  This [draft] Standard establishes the principles that an entity should apply to report useful information to users of its financial statements about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows from insurance contracts.

Meeting the objective

2  To meet the objective in paragraph 1, this [draft] Standard requires an entity:

(a) to measure an insurance contract it issues using a current value approach that incorporates all of the available information in a way that is consistent with observable market information; and

(b) to present insurance contract revenue to depict the transfer of promised services arising from an insurance contract in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those services, and to present expenses as the entity incurs them.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 25 April 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 ED proposals that an entity should present insurance contract revenue and expense in the statement of comprehensive income, as proposed in paragraphs 56–59 and B88–B91.
Scope

An entity shall apply this [draft] Standard to:

(a) an insurance contract, including a reinsurance contract, that it issues;
(b) a reinsurance contract that it holds; and
(c) an investment contract with a discretionary participation feature that it issues, provided that the entity also issues insurance contracts.

All references in this [draft] Standard to insurance contracts also apply to:

(a) a reinsurance contract held, except as described in paragraphs 41–42; and

(b) an investment contract with a discretionary participation feature, except as described in paragraphs 47–48.

Appendix A defines an insurance contract and Appendix B provides guidance on the definition of an insurance contract (see paragraphs B2–B30).

This [draft] Standard does not address other aspects of accounting by entities that issue insurance contracts, such as accounting for their financial assets and financial liabilities, other than the transition requirements related to the redesignation of financial assets as set out in paragraphs C11–C12.

An entity shall not apply this [draft] Standard to:

(a) product warranties that are issued by a manufacturer, dealer or retailer (see [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers and IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets);
(b) employers’ assets and liabilities that arise from employee benefit plans (see IAS 19 Employee Benefits and IFRS 2 Share-based Payment) and retirement benefit obligations that are reported by defined benefit retirement plans (see IAS 26 Accounting and Reporting by Retirement Benefit Plans);
(c) contractual rights or contractual obligations that are contingent on the future use of, or the right to use, a non-financial item (for

---

The proposals arising from the IASB’s 2011 Exposure Draft Revenue from Contracts with Customers would replace IAS 18 Revenue. [Draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers is expected to be finalised in 2013. The IASB plans to update the requirements in the proposals to be consistent with [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers when it finalises this [draft] Standard, where applicable.
example, some licence fees, royalties, contingent lease payments and similar items; see IAS 17 Leases, [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers and IAS 38 Intangible Assets).

(d) residual value guarantees that are provided by a manufacturer, dealer or retailer, and a lessee’s residual value guarantee that is embedded in a finance lease (see IAS 17 and [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers).

(e) fixed-fee service contracts that have, as their primary purpose, the provision of services and that meet all of the following conditions:
   (i) the entity does not reflect an assessment of the risk that is associated with an individual customer in setting the price of the contract with that customer;
   (ii) the contract compensates customers by providing a service, rather than by making cash payments; and
   (iii) the insurance risk that is transferred by the contract arises primarily from the customer’s use of services.

An entity shall apply [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers to such contracts.

(f) financial guarantee contracts, unless the issuer has previously asserted explicitly that it regards such contracts as insurance contracts and has used accounting that is applicable to insurance contracts, in which case the issuer may elect to apply either IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation, IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures and IFRS 9 Financial Instruments or this [draft] Standard to such financial guarantee contracts. The issuer may make that election on a contract-by-contract basis, but the election for each contract is irrevocable.

(g) contingent consideration that is payable or receivable in a business combination (see IFRS 3 Business Combinations).

(h) insurance contracts in which the entity is the policyholder unless those contracts are reinsurance contracts (see paragraph 3(b)).

At its meeting on 21 May 2014 the Board tentatively decided that entities should be permitted, but not required, to apply the revenue recognition Standard to the fixed-fee service contracts that meet the criteria in paragraph 7(e) of the 2013 ED.
Combination of insurance contracts

8 An entity shall combine two or more insurance contracts that are entered into at or near the same time with the same policyholder (or related policyholders) and shall account for those contracts as a single insurance contract if one or more of the following criteria is met:

(a) the insurance contracts are negotiated as a package with a single commercial objective;
(b) the amount of consideration to be paid for one insurance contract depends on the consideration or performance of the other insurance contract(s); or
(c) the coverage provided by the insurance contracts to the policyholder relates to the same insurance risk.

Separating components from an insurance contract (paragraphs B31–B35)

9 An insurance contract may contain one or more components that would be within the scope of another Standard if they were separate contracts. For example, an insurance contract may include an investment component or a service component (or both). Such a contract may be partially within the scope of this [draft] Standard and partially within the scope of other Standards. An entity shall apply paragraphs 10–11 to identify and account for the components of the contract.

10 An entity shall:

(a) separate an embedded derivative from the host contract and account for the embedded derivative in accordance with IFRS 9 if, and only if, it meets both of the following criteria:

(i) the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivative are not closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract (see paragraphs B4.3.5 and B4.3.8 of IFRS 9); and

(ii) a separate financial instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative would meet the definition of a...
The entity shall measure the embedded derivative as if it had issued it as a stand-alone financial instrument that is initially measured in accordance with IFRS 9 and attribute any remaining cash flows to the other components of the insurance contract.

(b) separate an investment component from the host insurance contract and account for it in accordance with IFRS 9 if that investment component is distinct (see paragraphs B31–B32). The entity shall measure a distinct investment component as if it had issued it as a stand-alone financial instrument that is initially measured in accordance with IFRS 9 and attribute any remaining cash flows to the other components of the insurance contract.

(c) separate from the host insurance contract a performance obligation (as defined in [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers) to provide goods or services (see paragraphs B33–B35). The entity shall account for a distinct performance obligation to provide goods or services in accordance with paragraph 11 and other applicable Standards if that performance obligation to provide goods and services is distinct.

(d) apply this [draft] Standard to the remaining components of an insurance contract. Throughout this [draft] Standard, the components of an insurance contract that remain after separating the components within the scope of other Standards in accordance with (a)–(c) are deemed to be an insurance contract.

11 After applying paragraph 10 to separate any cash flows related to embedded derivatives and distinct investment components, an entity shall, on initial recognition:

(a) attribute the remaining cash inflows between the insurance component and any distinct performance obligations to provide goods or services in accordance with [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers; and

(b) attribute the remaining cash outflows between the insurance component and any distinct performance obligations to provide goods or services in a way that attributes:
   (i) cash outflows that relate directly to each component to that component; and
   (ii) any remaining cash outflows on a rational and consistent basis, reflecting the costs that the entity would expect to
incur if it had issued that component as a separate contract.

### Recognition

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td>An entity shall recognise an insurance contract that it issues from the earliest of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) the beginning of the <em>coverage period</em>;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) the date on which the first payment from the policyholder becomes due; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) if applicable, the date on which the <em>portfolio of insurance contracts</em> to which the contract will belong is onerous.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**13** | An entity shall recognise any *pre-coverage cash flows* as they occur as part of the portfolio that will contain the contract to which they relate. |

**14** | If there is no contractual due date, the first payment from the policyholder is deemed to be due when it is received. |

**15** | An entity needs to assess whether a contract is onerous when facts and circumstances indicate that the portfolio of contracts that will contain the contract is onerous. A portfolio of insurance contracts is onerous if, after the entity is bound by the terms of the contract, the sum of the *fulfilment cash flows* and any pre-coverage cash flows is greater than zero. Any excess of this sum over zero shall be recognised in profit or loss as an expense. |

**16** | An entity shall not recognise as a liability or as an asset any amounts relating to expected premiums that are outside the boundary of the contract (see paragraphs 22(e) and B67). Such amounts relate to future insurance contracts. |

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
Measurement (paragraphs B36–B87)

17 An entity shall apply paragraphs 18–32 to all contracts within the scope of the [draft] Standard with the following exceptions:

(a) for insurance contracts in which the contract requires the entity to hold underlying items and specifies a link between the payments to the policyholder and the returns on those underlying items (see paragraph 33), an entity shall apply paragraph 34 to modify the measurement of the fulfilment cash flows required by paragraphs 18–32.

(b) for insurance contracts meeting the eligibility criteria in paragraph 35, an entity may simplify the measurement of the liability for the remaining coverage using the premium-allocation approach in paragraphs 38–40.

(c) for reinsurance contracts held, the entity shall apply paragraphs 18–32 in accordance with paragraphs 41–42.

(d) for insurance contracts acquired in a portfolio transfer or a business combination, an entity shall apply paragraphs 18–32 in accordance with paragraphs 43–46.

(e) for investment contracts with a discretionary participation feature, an entity shall apply paragraphs 18–32 in accordance with paragraphs 47–48.

Measurement on initial recognition of an insurance contract (paragraphs B36–B67 and B69–B82)

18 An entity shall measure an insurance contract initially at the sum of:

(a) the amount of the fulfilment cash flows, measured in accordance with paragraphs 19–27, B36–B67 and B69–B82; plus
(b) any contractual service margin, measured in accordance with paragraph 28.

19 The resulting measurement can be regarded as comprising two elements:

(a) a liability for the remaining coverage, which measures the entity’s obligation to provide coverage to the policyholder during the remaining coverage period; and

(b) a liability for incurred claims, which measures the entity’s obligation to investigate and pay claims for insured events that have already occurred, including incurred claims for events that have occurred but for which claims have not been reported.

20 When applying IAS 21 The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates to an insurance contract that results in cash flows in a foreign currency, an entity shall treat the contract, including the contractual service margin, as a monetary item.

21 The fulfilment cash flows shall not reflect the non-performance risk of the entity that issues the insurance contract (non-performance risk is defined in IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement).

Future cash flows (paragraphs B39–B67)

22 The estimates of cash flows used to determine the fulfilment cash flows shall include all cash inflows and cash outflows that relate directly to the fulfilment of the portfolio of contracts. Those estimates shall:

(a) be explicit (ie the entity shall estimate those cash flows separately from the estimates of discount rates that adjust those future cash flows for the time value of money and the risk adjustment that adjusts those future cash flows for the effects of uncertainty about the amount and timing of those cash flows);

(b) reflect the perspective of the entity, provided that the estimates of any relevant market variables do not contradict the observable market prices for those variables (see paragraphs B43–B53);

(c) incorporate, in an unbiased way, all of the available information about the amount, timing and uncertainty of all of the cash inflows and cash outflows that are expected to arise as the entity fulfils the insurance contracts in the portfolio (see paragraph B54);
(d) be current (ie the estimates shall reflect all of the available information at the measurement date) (see paragraphs B55–B61); and

(e) include the cash flows within the boundary of each contract in the portfolio (see paragraphs 23–24 and B62–B67).

Cash flows are within the boundary of an insurance contract when the entity can compel the policyholder to pay the premiums or has a substantive obligation to provide the policyholder with coverage or other services. A substantive obligation to provide coverage or other services ends when:

(a) the entity has the right or the practical ability to reassess the risks of the particular policyholder and, as a result, can set a price or level of benefits that fully reflects those risks; or

(b) both of the following criteria are satisfied:

(i) the entity has the right or the practical ability to reassess the risk of the portfolio of insurance contracts that contains the contract and, as a result, can set a price or level of benefits that fully reflects the risk of that portfolio; and

(ii) the pricing of the premiums for coverage up to the date when the risks are reassessed does not take into account the risks that relate to future periods.

An entity shall determine the boundary of an insurance contract by considering all of the substantive rights that are held by the policyholder, whether they arise from a contract, law or regulation. However, an entity shall ignore restrictions that have no commercial substance (ie no discernible effect on the economics of the contract).

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
Time value of money (paragraphs B69–B75)

An entity shall determine the fulfilment cash flows by adjusting the estimates of future cash flows for the time value of money, using discount rates that reflect the characteristics of those cash flows. Such rates shall:

(a) be consistent with observable current market prices for instruments with cash flows whose characteristics are consistent with those of the insurance contract, in terms of, for example, timing, currency and liquidity; and

(b) exclude the effect of any factors that influence the observable market prices but that are not relevant to the cash flows of the insurance contract.

Estimates of discount rates shall be consistent with other estimates used to measure the insurance contract to avoid double counting or omissions, for example:

(a) to the extent that the amount, timing or uncertainty of the cash flows that arise from an insurance contract depends wholly or partly on the returns on underlying items, the characteristics of the liability reflect that dependence. The discount rate used to measure those cash flows shall therefore reflect the extent of that dependence.

(b) nominal cash flows (ie those that include the effect of inflation) shall be discounted at rates that include the effect of inflation.

(c) real cash flows (ie those that exclude the effect of inflation) shall be discounted at rates that exclude the effect of inflation.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
Risk adjustment (paragraphs B76–B82)

27 When determining the fulfilment cash flows, an entity shall apply a risk adjustment to the expected present value of cash flows used.

Contractual service margin

28 Unless the portfolio of insurance contracts that includes the contract is onerous at initial recognition, an entity shall measure the contractual service margin recognised at initial recognition in accordance with paragraph 18(b) at an amount that is equal and opposite to the sum of:

(a) the amount of the fulfilment cash flows for the insurance contract at initial recognition; and

(b) any pre-coverage cash flows.

At its meeting on 17 June 2014 the Board tentatively decided to amend the definition of a portfolio of insurance contracts to be “insurance contracts that provide coverage for similar risks and are managed together as a single pool”.

At its meeting on 20 January 2016 the Board tentatively decided to require a loss for onerous contracts to be recognised only when the contractual service margin is negative for a group of contracts, and that the group should comprise contracts that at inception:

a. have cash flows that the entity expects will respond in similar ways to key drivers of risk in terms of amount and timing; and

b. had similar expected profitability (ie similar contractual service margin as a percentage of the premium).

At the same meeting the Board also tentatively decided that there should be no exception to the level of aggregation for determining onerous contracts or the allocation of the contractual service margin when regulation affects the pricing of contracts. Accordingly, contracts with dissimilar profitability, even if as a consequence of regulation, may not be grouped for determining onerous contracts and for the allocation of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 the Board tentatively decided that an entity is exempt from the requirement to divide a portfolio into groups of contracts—a group that is onerous at inception, not significantly likely to be onerous, and other contracts—if, and only if, applying that requirement would result in the entity dividing the contracts of a portfolio into such groups because there are specific constraints in law or regulation on an entity’s practical ability to set price or benefit levels that vary according to policyholder characteristics. When this is the case, the entity may include those contracts in the same group and should disclose that fact. This exemption should not be extended by analogy to any other regulatory-affected transactions.
Subsequent measurement

Unless paragraphs 35–40 apply, the carrying amount of an insurance contract at the end of each reporting period shall be the sum of:

(a) the fulfilment cash flows at that date, measured in accordance with paragraphs 19–27, B36–B67 and B69–B82; and

(b) the remaining amount of the contractual service margin at that date.

The remaining amount of the contractual service margin at the end of the reporting period is the carrying amount at the start of the reporting period:

(a) plus the interest accreted on the carrying amount of the contractual service margin during the reporting period to reflect the time value of money (the interest accreted is calculated using the discount rates specified in paragraph 25 that applied when the contract was initially recognised);

(b) minus the amount recognised in accordance with paragraph 32 for services that were provided in the period;

(c) plus a favourable difference between the current and previous estimates of the present value of future cash flows, if those future cash flows relate to future coverage and other future services (see paragraph B68);

At its meeting on 22 July 2014 the Board tentatively decided that, for contracts without participating features, an entity should use the locked-in rate at the inception of the contract for accreting interest on the contractual service margin and for calculating the change in present value of expected cash flows that offsets that margin.

At its meeting on 18 November 2015 the Board tentatively decided not to require or permit in the general model the remeasurement of the contractual service margin using current discount rates.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to confirm the proposals in the 2013 ED that after inception:
   i. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows related to future coverage and other future services should be
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(d) minus an unfavourable change in the future cash flows:

(i) if the change arises from a difference between the current and previous estimate of the present value of future cash flows that relate to future coverage and other future services; and

(ii) to the extent that the contractual service margin is sufficient to absorb an unfavourable change. The contractual service margin shall not be negative.

...added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative; and

ii. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows that do not relate to future coverage and other future services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided to revise the guidance in the forthcoming insurance contracts Standard on changes in the fulfilment cash flows that relate to:

a. future service, and hence adjust the contractual service margin; and

b. current and past service, and hence do not adjust the contractual service margin.

The revised draft text is available in the Appendix of Agenda Paper 2B.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that for contracts measured under the general model:

a. when an experience adjustment directly causes a change in the estimate of the present value of future cash flows, the combined effect of the experience adjustment and the change in the estimate of the present value of the future cash flows should be recognised in profit or loss rather than adjusting the contractual service margin.

b. guidance should be added to IFRS 17 explaining that an experience adjustment directly causes a change in the estimate of the present value of future cash flows only when it causes a change in the future rights and obligations for the group of contracts (ie the number of coverage units), and not just the measurement of those rights and obligations. A change in the measurement only of existing rights and obligations is not directly caused by an experience adjustment.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 the Board tentatively decided:

a. for contracts measured under the general model—that all changes in estimates of
An entity shall recognise in profit or loss any changes in the future cash flows that, in accordance with paragraph 30, do not adjust the contractual service margin (see paragraph B68).

An entity shall recognise the remaining contractual service margin in profit or loss over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of the services that are provided under the contract.

At its meeting on 21 May 2014 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to confirm the principle in the 2013 ED that an entity should recognise the remaining contractual service margin in profit or loss over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of the services that are provided under an insurance contract; and

b. clarify that, for contracts with no participating features, the service represented by the contractual service margin is insurance coverage that:
   i. is provided on the basis of the passage of time; and
   ii. reflects the expected number of contracts in force.

At its meeting on 25 June 2015 the Board tentatively decided that for all insurance contracts with participation features, an entity should recognise the contractual service margin in profit or loss on the basis of the passage of time.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. the objective for the adjustment and allocation of the contractual service margin should be that the contractual service margin at the end of a reporting period
represents the profit for the future services to be provided for a group of contracts.

b. an entity should measure the contractual service margin using the group used for deciding when contracts are onerous. Consequently, an entity should measure the contractual service margin by grouping insurance contracts that at inception have:
   i. expected cash flows the entity expects will respond similarly in terms of amount and timing to changes in key assumptions.
   ii. similar expected profitability, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of the total expected revenue. An entity can use as a practical expedient the expected return on premiums, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of expected premiums.

c. an entity should reflect the expected duration and size of the contracts remaining in the group at the end of the period when allocating the contractual service margin of the group of contracts to the profit or loss statement.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to retain the definition of portfolio in draft IFRS 17 Insurance Contracts, ie that a portfolio is a group of contracts subject to similar risks and managed together as a single pool. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that contracts within each product line, such as annuities or whole-life, would be expected to have similar risks, and hence contracts from different product lines would not be expected in the same portfolio.

b. to require entities to identify onerous contracts at inception and group them separately from contracts not onerous at inception. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that entities could measure contracts together if the entity can determine that those contracts can be grouped with others based on available information at inception.

c. to require entities to measure insurance contracts not onerous at inception by dividing the portfolio into two groups—a group of contracts that have no significant risk of becoming onerous and a group of other profitable contracts. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that:
   i. an entity should assess the risk of the contracts in a group becoming onerous in a manner consistent with the entity’s internal reporting about changes in estimates.
   ii. an entity should assess the risk of contracts in the group becoming onerous based on the sensitivity of the fulfilment cash flows to changes in estimates which, if they occurred, would result in the contracts
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becoming onerous.

iii. an entity is permitted to divide a portfolio into more than two groups.

For example, an entity may choose to divide a portfolio into more groups if the entity’s internal reporting provides information that distinguishes the different risks of contracts becoming onerous.

d. prohibit entities from grouping contracts issued more than one year apart.

e. to require entities to allocate the contractual service margin for a group of contracts on the basis of the passage of time. Thus the contractual service margin should be allocated over the current period and expected remaining coverage period and that allocation should be on the basis of coverage units, reflecting the expected duration and size of the contracts in the group.

These decisions revise the Board’s previous decisions on the level of aggregation for the measurement of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.

Contracts that require the entity to hold underlying items and specify a link to returns on those underlying items (paragraphs B83–B87)

An entity shall apply paragraph 34 if the contract:

(a) requires the entity to hold underlying items such as specified assets and liabilities, an underlying pool of insurance contracts, or if the underlying item specified in the contract is the assets and liabilities of the entity as a whole; and

(b) specifies a link between the payments to the policyholder and the returns on those underlying items.

The entity shall determine whether the contract specifies a link to returns on

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that the mirroring approach proposed in paragraphs 33-34 of the 2013 ED should not be permitted or required.

At its meeting on 25 June 2015 the Board tentatively decided that,

a. for insurance contracts with direct participation features, it would modify its general measurement model for accounting for insurance contracts so that changes in the estimate of the fee that the entity expects to earn from the contract are adjusted in the
underlying items by considering all of the substantive terms of the contract, whether they arise from a contract, the law or regulation.

When paragraph 33 applies, the entity shall, at initial recognition and subsequently:

(a) measure the fulfilment cash flows that are expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items by reference to the carrying amount of the underlying items (meaning that paragraphs 18–27 do not apply); and

(b) measure the fulfilment cash flows that are not expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items in accordance with paragraphs 18–27. Such cash flows include fixed payments specified by the contract, options embedded in the insurance contract that are not separated and guarantees of minimum payments that are embedded in the contract and that are not separated in accordance with paragraph 10.

contractual service margin. The fee that the entity expects to earn from the contract is equal to the entity's expected share of the returns on underlying items, less any expected cash flows that do not vary directly with the underlying items.

b. contracts with direct participation features should be defined as contracts for which:

i. the contractual terms specify that the policyholder participates in a defined share of a clearly identified pool of underlying items;

ii. the entity expects to pay to the policyholder an amount equal to a substantial share of the returns from the underlying items; and

iii. a substantial proportion of the cash flows that the entity expects to pay to the policyholder should be expected to vary with the cash flows from the underlying items.

c. for all insurance contracts with participation features, an entity should recognise the contractual service margin in profit or loss on the basis of the passage of time.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board decided an entity should not apply the variable fee approach to reinsurance contracts issued or reinsurance contracts held.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that for contracts accounted for using the variable fee approach, the following should be recognised in profit or loss, rather than adjusting the contractual service margin:

a. experience adjustments arising from non-financial risk that do not affect the underlying items; and

b. any directly caused changes in the estimates of the present value of future cash flows.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 the Board tentatively decided:

a. for contracts measured under the general model—that all changes in estimates of the present value of future cash flows arising from non-financial risks are adjusted against the contractual service margin.
EFFECT OF BOARD DELIBERATIONS

b. *for contracts measured under the variable fee approach*—that all changes in estimates of the present value of future cash flows that are unrelated to the underlying items and that arise from non-financial risks are adjusted against the contractual service margin.

c. that the changes in estimates adjusted against the contractual service margin include changes directly caused by experience adjustments. There are two exceptions: (i) where the change relates to incurred claims, and (ii) where any increases in estimates exceed the carrying amount of the contractual service margin, or any decreases are allocated to a loss component.

d. to revise the definition of an experience adjustment to exclude investment components.

e. that the amount of the contractual service margin for a group of insurance contracts recognised in profit or loss in each period is determined by allocating the carrying amount of the contractual service margin after all other adjustments have been made to the carrying amount of the contractual service margin at the start of the period.

f. *for contracts measured under the general model*—that all changes in estimates of the present value of future cash flows arising from non-financial risks are adjusted against the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that:

a. if an entity uses the variable fee approach to measure insurance contracts and uses a derivative measured at FVPL to mitigate the financial market risk from the guarantee embedded in the insurance contract, the entity would be permitted to recognise in profit or loss the changes in the value of the guarantee embedded in an insurance contract, determined using fulfilment cash flows.

b. an entity that mitigates the financial market risk from the guarantee using a derivative should be permitted to recognise in profit or loss the changes in the value of the guarantee embedded in an insurance contract, determined using fulfilment cash flows only if:

i. that risk mitigation is consistent with the entity’s risk management strategy;

ii. an economic offset exists between the guarantee and the derivative, ie the values or cash flows from the embedded guarantee and the derivative generally move in opposite directions because they respond in a similar way to the changes in the risk being mitigated. An entity should not consider accounting measurement differences in assessing the economic offset.
Simplified approach for measuring the liability for the remaining coverage

An entity may simplify the measurement of the liability for the remaining coverage using the premium-allocation approach set out in paragraphs 38–40 if:

(a) doing so would produce a measurement that is a reasonable approximation to those that would be produced when applying the requirements in paragraphs 18–32; or

(b) the coverage period of the insurance contract at initial recognition (including coverage arising from all premiums within the contract boundary determined in accordance with paragraphs 23–24) is one year or less.

iii. credit risk does not dominate the economic offset.

c. an entity should be required to:
   i. document, before the entity starts recognising changes in the value of the guarantee in profit or loss, the entity’s risk management objective and the strategy for using the derivative to mitigate the financial market risk embedded in the insurance contract; and
   ii. discontinue recognising in profit or loss changes in the value of the guarantee prospectively from the date on which the economic offset does not exist anymore.

At its meeting on 18 November 2015 the Board tentatively decided that the variable fee approach should not be amended so that a financial guarantee embedded in an insurance contract would be treated as if it were part of the underlying assets. Under the variable fee approach, the changes in the fair value of the underlying items referenced in the insurance contract are recognised in the statement of comprehensive income in each period.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided to permit an entity that uses a derivative to mitigate financial risks arising from an insurance contract accounted for using the variable fee approach to exclude the effect of those changes in the financial risk from the contractual service margin when specified criteria are met.

This extends the approach applicable to specific financial risks included in paragraph B104 of draft IFRS 17 to all financial risks reflected in the insurance contract to which the variable fee approach is applied.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
36 When an entity simplifies the measurement of the liability for the remaining coverage in accordance with paragraphs 38–40, it shall recognise an onerous contract liability if, at initial recognition or subsequently, facts and circumstances indicate that the portfolio of insurance contracts containing the contract is onerous.

37 The application of the premium-allocation approach in paragraphs 38–40 cannot produce a reasonable approximation to the measurements that result from the requirements in paragraphs 18–32 if, at contract inception, the entity expects significant variability, during the period before a claim is incurred, in the fulfilment cash flows that are required to fulfil the contract. Variability in the fulfilment cash flows increases:

(a) with the extent of future cash flows relating to any options or other derivatives embedded in the contract that remain after separating any embedded derivatives in accordance with paragraph 10(a); or

(b) with the length of the coverage period of the contract.

38 If either of the criteria in paragraph 35 is satisfied, an entity may measure the liability for the remaining coverage as follows:

(a) at initial recognition, the carrying amount of the liability for the remaining coverage is:
   (i) the premium, if any, received at initial recognition;
   (ii) less any payments that relate to acquisition costs, unless paragraph 39(a) applies;
   (iii) plus (or minus) any pre-coverage cash flows;
   (iv) plus any onerous contract liability recognised in accordance with paragraph 36 and measured in accordance with paragraph 39(c).

(b) at the end of each subsequent reporting period, the carrying amount of the liability for the remaining coverage is the previous carrying amount:
   (i) plus the premiums received in the period;
   (ii) minus the amount recognised as insurance contract

At its meeting on 23 September 2014 the Board tentatively decided to clarify that when an
entity applies the premium-allocation approach to account for an insurance contract, it should recognise insurance contract revenue in profit or loss:

a. on the basis of the passage of time; but
b. if the expected pattern of release of risk differs significantly from the passage of time, then on the basis of expected timing of incurred claims and benefits.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

39 When an entity simplifies the measurement of the liability for the remaining coverage using the approach set out in paragraph 38, it:

(a) may elect to recognise the directly attributable acquisition costs as an expense when it incurs those costs, provided that the coverage period at initial recognition is one year or less.

(b) shall measure the liability for incurred claims for those contracts at the fulfilment cash flows relating to incurred claims, in accordance with paragraphs 19–27, B36–B67 and B69–B82. However, the entity need not adjust future cash flows for the time value of money if those cash flows are expected to be paid or received in one year or less.

(c) shall measure any onerous contract liability that is recognised in accordance with paragraph 36 as the difference between the carrying amount of the liability for the remaining coverage and the fulfilment cash flows. However, if, in accordance with (b), the
entity does not adjust future cash flows relating to the liability for incurred claims to reflect the time value of money, it shall measure any onerous contract liability without adjusting those future cash flows to reflect the time value of money.

If a contract has a financing component that is significant to the contract an entity shall adjust the liability for the remaining coverage to reflect the time value of money using the discount rates specified in paragraph 25, as determined at initial recognition. However, the entity need not adjust the liability for the remaining coverage to reflect the time value of money if the entity expects, at contract inception, that the time between the entity providing each part of the coverage and the due date for the premium that relates to that part of the coverage is one year or less.

Reinsurance contracts held

An entity that holds a reinsurance contract pays a premium and receives reimbursement if it pays valid claims arising from underlying contracts, instead of receiving premiums and paying valid claims to the policyholder. Consequently, some of the requirements in this [draft] Standard are modified to reflect that fact, as follows:

(a) the recognition requirements of paragraph 12 are modified so that an entity shall recognise a reinsurance contract held:

(i) from the beginning of the coverage period of the reinsurance contract, if the reinsurance contract provides coverage for the aggregate losses of a portfolio of underlying contracts; and

(ii) when the underlying contracts are recognised, in all other cases.

(b) in applying the measurement requirements of paragraphs 19–27 to estimate the fulfilment cash flows for a reinsurance contract held, the entity shall use assumptions that are consistent with those that are used to measure the corresponding part of the fulfilment cash flows for the underlying insurance contract(s). In addition, the entity shall, on an expected present value basis:

(i) treat cash flows, including ceding commissions, that are contingent on the occurrence of claims of the underlying contracts as part of the claims that are expected to be

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board decided an entity should not apply the variable fee approach to reinsurance contracts issued or reinsurance contracts held.

At is meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
reimbursed under the reinsurance contract;

(ii) treat ceding commissions that it expects to receive that are not contingent on the occurrence of claims of the underlying contracts as a reduction of the premiums to be paid to the reinsurer;

(iii) apply the requirements of paragraph 21 so that the fulfilment cash flows reflect the risk of non-performance by the issuer of the reinsurance contract, including the effects of collateral and losses from disputes; and

(iv) determine the risk adjustment required by paragraph 27 so that it represents the risk being transferred by the holder of the reinsurance contract.

(c) the requirements of paragraph 28 that relate to determining the contractual service margin on initial recognition are modified so that, at initial recognition:

(i) the entity shall recognise any net cost or net gain on purchasing the reinsurance contract as a contractual service margin measured at an amount that is equal to the sum of the amount of the fulfilment cash flows and pre-coverage cash flows for the reinsurance contracts; unless

(ii) the net cost of purchasing reinsurance coverage relates to events that occurred before the purchase of the reinsurance contract, in which case the entity shall recognise such a cost immediately in profit or loss as an expense.

(d) the requirements of paragraphs 30–31 that relate to the subsequent measurement of the contractual service margin are modified so that the entity shall measure the remaining amount of the contractual service margin at the end of the reporting period at the carrying amount that was determined at the start of the reporting period:

(i) plus the interest accreted on the carrying amount of the contractual service margin to reflect the time value of money (the interest accreted is calculated using the discount rates specified in paragraph 25 that applied when the contract was initially recognised);

(ii) minus the amount recognised relating to services that were received in the period; and

(iii) plus (or minus) a favourable (or unfavourable) change in

At its meeting on 17 June 2014 the Board tentatively decided that, after inception, an entity should recognise in profit or loss any changes in estimates of fulfilment cash flows for a reinsurance contract that an entity holds when those changes arise as a result of changes in estimates of fulfilment cash flows for an underlying direct insurance contract that are recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to confirm the proposals in the 2013 ED that after inception:

   i. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present
the future cash flows if that change arises from a
difference between the current and previous estimates of
the future cash flows that relate to future coverage and
other future services. Changes in the expected present
value of cash flows that result from changes in the
expected credit losses of the reinsurer do not relate to
future coverage or other future services and shall be
recognised immediately in profit or loss.

value of cash flows related to future coverage and other future services
should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin,
subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be
negative; and

ii. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present
value of cash flows that do not relate to future coverage and other future
services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

b. that favourable changes in estimates that arise after losses were previously
recognised in profit or loss should be recognised in profit or loss to the extent
that they reverse losses that relate to coverage and other services in the future.

c. that differences between the current and previous estimates of the risk
adjustment that relate to future coverage and other services should be added to,
or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that
the contractual service margin should not be negative. Consequently, changes in
the risk adjustment that relate to the coverage and other services provided in the
current and past periods should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided to revise the guidance in the
forthcoming insurance contracts Standard on changes in the fulfilment cash flows that
relate to:

a. future service, and hence adjust the contractual service margin; and
b. current and past service, and hence do not adjust the contractual service margin.

The revised draft text is available in the Appendix of Agenda Paper 2B.

At its meeting on 22 July 2014 the Board tentatively decided that, for contracts without
participating features, an entity should use the locked-in rate at the inception of the
contract for accreting interest on the contractual service margin and for calculating the
change in present value of expected cash flows that offsets that margin.

At its meeting on 18 November 2015 the Board tentatively decided not to require or
permit in the general model the remeasurement of the contractual service margin using
current discount rates.

42 Other requirements of this [draft] Standard apply to a reinsurance contract
held. For example:

(a) an asset that arises under a reinsurance contract may be regarded as
comprising both the expected value of the recovery that relates to the
remaining risk coverage and the expected value of the recovery that
relates to incurred claims. An entity may simplify the measurement of the expected value of the recovery that relates to the remaining coverage using the approach set out in paragraphs 38–40 if:

(i) doing so would produce measurements that are a reasonable approximation to those that would be produced by applying the requirements in paragraph 41; or

(ii) the coverage period of the reinsurance contract is one year or less.

(b) disclosure requirements apply to reinsurance contracts.

**Portfolio transfers and business combinations**

The date of the portfolio transfer or business combination is deemed to be the date of recognition for insurance contracts and reinsurance contracts that are acquired in a portfolio transfer or a business combination.

The entity shall treat the consideration received or paid for a contract acquired in a portfolio transfer or business combination as a pre-coverage cash flow. The consideration received or paid for the contract excludes the consideration received or paid for any other assets and liabilities that were acquired in the same transaction. In a business combination, the consideration received or paid is the fair value of the contract at that date. That fair value reflects the portion of the total consideration for the business combination relating to the liability assumed.

The initial measurement of contracts acquired in a business combination shall be used when determining any goodwill or gain from a bargain purchase in accordance with IFRS 3.

Other requirements of this [draft] Standard apply to an insurance contract issued, or a reinsurance contract held, that is acquired in a portfolio transfer or a business combination.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 21 May 2014 the Board tentatively decided to clarify the requirements for contracts acquired through a portfolio transfer or a business combination in paragraphs 43–45 of the 2013 ED, that such contracts should be accounted for as if they had been issued by the entity at the date of the portfolio transfer or business combination.
Investment contracts with a discretionary participation feature

An investment contract with a discretionary participation feature does not transfer significant insurance risk and therefore does not specify a coverage period. Consequently, some of the requirements in this [draft] Standard are modified to explain how the coverage period should be interpreted, as follows:

(a) the beginning of the coverage period (see paragraph 12) is modified to be the time when the entity becomes party to the contract. Thus, an entity shall recognise an investment contract with a discretionary participation feature when it first has a contractual obligation to deliver cash at a present or future date.

(b) the determination of the contract boundary (see paragraph 23) is modified so that cash flows are within the boundary of the contract when the entity has a substantive obligation to deliver cash at a present or future date. This ends when the entity has the right or practical ability to set a price that fully reflects the benefits provided.

(c) the coverage period (see paragraph 32) is modified to be the period over which the entity is required to provide asset management or other services under the contract. The entity shall recognise the contractual service margin over the life of the contract in the systematic way that best reflects the transfer of asset management services under the contract.

Other requirements of this [draft] Standard apply to investment contracts with a discretionary participation feature, even though those contracts do not transfer significant insurance risk.
Modification and derecognition of an insurance contract

Modification of an insurance contract

A contract modification occurs when the parties to the contract agree on a change to the terms of a contract. An entity shall:

(a) derecognise the original insurance contract and recognise the modified contract as a new contract in accordance with this or other applicable Standards if any of the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) the modified contract would have been excluded from the scope of this [draft] Standard in accordance with paragraphs 3–7 if it had been written at contract inception with the modified terms;

(ii) the entity applied the premium-allocation approach in paragraphs 38–40 to the original contract, but the modified contract no longer meets the eligibility criteria for that approach in paragraph 35 or paragraph 42(a); or

(iii) the modified contract would have been included in a different portfolio from the one in which it was included at initial recognition if it had been written at contract inception with the modified terms.

The consideration for the new contract is deemed to be the premium that the entity would have charged the policyholder if it had entered into a contract with equivalent terms at the date of the contract modification.

(b) account for modifications that do not meet the conditions in (a) as follows:

(i) recognise an obligation to provide additional benefits that result from the contract modifications as a new contract—the entity shall determine the contractual service margin for the new contract by reference to the additional premium that was charged for the modification;

(ii) account for a reduction in benefits that results from the contract modifications by derecognising in accordance with paragraph 50 the part of the contract that is related to the reduction of benefits; and

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
apply paragraphs 30–31 to changes in cash flows that are not accompanied by a change in the level of benefits as changes in estimates of fulfilment cash flows.

Derecognition of an insurance contract

50. Unless paragraph 49(a) applies, an entity shall derecognise an insurance contract (or part of it) from its statement of financial position when, and only when, it is extinguished (ie when the obligation specified in the insurance contract is discharged, cancelled or expires). At that point, the entity is no longer at risk and is therefore no longer required to transfer any economic resources to satisfy the insurance contract.

51. When an entity buys reinsurance, it shall derecognise the underlying insurance contract(s) if, and only if, the underlying insurance contract(s) are extinguished.

Gains and losses on modification or derecognition

52. When an issuer or holder of a reinsurance contract applies paragraph 49, any gains or losses that arise on modification are recognised as an adjustment to the cash outflows arising from the contract.

53. When an entity derecognises an insurance contract and recognises a new contract in accordance with paragraph 49(a), or derecognises a portion in accordance with paragraph 49(b)(ii), the entity recognises a gain or loss in profit or loss, as applicable, measured as the difference between:

(a) the deemed consideration for the modified contract determined in accordance with paragraph 49(a); and

(b) the carrying amount of the derecognised contract.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
Presentation (paragraphs B88–B91)

Statement of financial position

54 An entity shall present separately in the statement of financial position:
(a) the carrying amount of portfolios of insurance contracts that are in an asset position; and
(b) the carrying amount of portfolios of insurance contracts that are in a liability position.

55 An entity shall present separately in the statement of financial position:
(a) the carrying amount of portfolios of reinsurance contracts held that are in an asset position; and
(b) the carrying amount of portfolios of reinsurance contracts held that are in a liability position.

Statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income

Revenue and expenses

56 An entity shall present revenue relating to the insurance contracts it issues in the statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income. Insurance contract revenue shall depict the transfer of promised services arising from the insurance contract in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those services. Paragraphs B88–B91 specify how an entity measures insurance contract revenue.

57 An entity shall present incurred claims and other expenses relating to an insurance contract it issues in the statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income.

58 Insurance contract revenue and incurred claims presented in the statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income shall exclude any investment components that, in accordance with paragraph 10(b), have not been separated.

59 An entity shall present the expense of purchasing reinsurance contracts held, excluding any investment components, in profit or loss as the entity receives reinsurance coverage and other services over the coverage period.

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 ED proposals related to presentation of line items relating to insurance contracts in the financial statements.

At its meeting on 25 April 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 proposals that an entity should present insurance contract revenue and expense in the statement of comprehensive income, as proposed in paragraphs 56–59 and B88–B91.

In addition, the Board tentatively decided that an entity should be prohibited from presenting premium information in the statement of comprehensive income if that information is not consistent with commonly understood notions of revenue.

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 ED proposals related to presentation of line items relating to insurance contracts in the financial statements.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
Profit or loss and other comprehensive income

An entity shall recognise in profit or loss:

(a) losses, if any, at initial recognition of insurance contracts (see paragraph 15).

(b) changes in the risk adjustment (see paragraph 27).

(c) the change in the contractual service margin that reflects the transfer of services in the period (see paragraph 32).

(d) changes in estimates of future cash flows that do not adjust the contractual service margin (see paragraphs 30–31 and B68).

(e) differences between actual cash flows that occurred during the period and previous estimates of those cash flows (experience adjustments) (see paragraphs 30–31 and B68).

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided that differences between the current and previous estimates of the risk adjustment that relate to future coverage and other services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative. Consequently, changes in the risk adjustment that relate to the coverage and other services provided in the current and past periods should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided that:

a. an entity need not disaggregate the change in the risk adjustment into a finance component and an underwriting component.

b. if the entity does not disaggregate the risk adjustment, it should present the entire change in the risk adjustment as part of the underwriting result.

c. the entity should disclose whether the change in the risk adjustment is disaggregated into a financial component and an underwriting component or presented as part of the underwriting result.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the proposals in the 2013 ED that after inception:

i. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows related to future coverage and other future services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative; and

ii. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows that do not relate to future coverage and other future services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.
At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided to revise the guidance in the forthcoming insurance contracts Standard on changes in the fulfilment cash flows that relate to:

a. future service, and hence adjust the contractual service margin; and
b. current and past service, and hence do not adjust the contractual service margin.

The revised draft text is available in the Appendix of Agenda Paper 2B.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided that favourable changes in estimates that arise after losses were previously recognised in profit or loss should be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that they reverse losses that relate to coverage and other services in the future.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that for contracts measured under the general model:

c. when an experience adjustment directly causes a change in the estimate of the present value of future cash flows, the combined effect of the experience adjustment and the change in the estimate of the present value of the future cash flows should be recognised in profit or loss rather than adjusting the contractual service margin.

d. guidance should be added to IFRS 17 explaining that an experience adjustment directly causes a change in the estimate of the present value of future cash flows only when it causes a change in the future rights and obligations for the group of contracts (ie the number of coverage units), and not just the measurement of those rights and obligations. A change in the measurement only of existing rights and obligations is not directly caused by an experience adjustment.

At its meeting on 16 November the Board tentatively decided that for contracts accounted for using the variable fee approach, the following should be recognised in profit or loss, rather than adjusting the contractual service margin:

a. experience adjustments arising from non-financial risk that do not affect the underlying items; and
b. any directly caused changes in the estimates of the present value of future cash
At its meeting on 22 February 2017 the Board tentatively decided:

- **g.** for contracts measured under the general model—that all changes in estimates of the present value of future cash flows arising from non-financial risks are adjusted against the contractual service margin.
- **h.** for contracts measured under the variable fee approach—that all changes in estimates of the present value of future cash flows that are unrelated to the underlying items and that arise from non-financial risks are adjusted against the contractual service margin.
- **i.** that the changes in estimates adjusted against the contractual service margin include changes directly caused by experience adjustments. There are two exceptions: (i) where the change relates to incurred claims, and (ii) where any increases in estimates exceed the carrying amount of the contractual service margin, or any decreases are allocated to a loss component.
- **j.** to revise the definition of an experience adjustment to exclude investment components.
- **k.** that the amount of the contractual service margin for a group of insurance contracts recognised in profit or loss in each period is determined by allocating the carrying amount of the contractual service margin after all other adjustments have been made to the carrying amount of the contractual service margin at the start of the period.
- **l.** for contracts measured under the general model—that all changes in estimates of the present value of future cash flows arising from non-financial risks are adjusted against the contractual service margin.

- **(f)** any changes in the carrying amount of onerous contracts recognised in accordance with paragraph 36.
- **(g)** any effect of changes in the credit standing of the issuer of reinsurance contracts held (see paragraph 41(b)(iii)).
- **(h)** unless paragraph 66 applies, interest expense on insurance contract liabilities determined using the discount rates specified in paragraph 25 that applied at the date that the contract was initially recognised. For cash flows that are expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items, the entity shall update those discount rates when it expects any changes in those returns to affect the amount of those cash flows.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided that an entity should choose to present the effect of changes in discount rates in profit and loss or in other comprehensive income as its accounting policy and should apply that accounting policy to all contracts within a portfolio, subject to developing:

- **a.** guidance that entities should apply the same accounting policy to groups of similar portfolios, and
- **b.** guidance that would provide rigour about when entities could change accounting
(i) any gains or losses other than those recognised in other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 64.

At its meeting on 17 June 2014 the Board tentatively decided to clarify that, in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors, an entity should select and apply its accounting policies consistently for similar contracts, considering the portfolio in which the contract is included, the assets that the entity holds and how those assets are accounted for.

At its meeting on 22 July 2014 the Board tentatively decided that an entity should apply the requirements in IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors to changes in accounting policy relating to the presentation of the effect of changes in discount rate.

At its meeting on 23 September 2014 the Board tentatively decided that, when an entity applies the premium-allocation approach to contracts for which the entity:

a. discounts the liability for incurred claims; and
b. chooses to present the effect of changes in discount rates in OCI; the interest expense in profit or loss for the liability for incurred claims should be determined using the discount rate locked-in at the date the liability for incurred claims is recognised.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that, for all insurance contracts, the forthcoming Standard should:

a. specify that the objective of disaggregating changes in the insurance contract arising from changes in market variables between profit or loss and other comprehensive income (OCI) is to present an insurance investment expense in profit or loss using a cost measurement basis. Accordingly,
   i. an entity recognises in OCI the difference between presenting insurance investment expense in profit or loss using a cost measurement basis and a current measurement basis, and
   ii. the amounts in OCI reverse.

b. not specify detailed mechanics for the determination of the insurance investment expense using a cost measurement basis (ie the effective yield approach). The Board would provide additional guidance that the mechanics should result in an allocation of the yield over the life of the contract on a systematic basis, and would include examples based on paragraph 17 of Agenda Paper 2B.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that the objective of disaggregating changes in market variables between profit or loss and OCI should be modified for contracts in which there is no economic mismatch between the insurance contract and the related items (for example, the assets and the liabilities) held by the entity. The modified objective would be to present the insurance investment expense that eliminates accounting mismatches in profit or loss between the insurance investment...
EFFECT OF BOARD DELIBERATIONS

expense and the items held that are measured using a cost measurement basis in profit or loss. The approach that meets the modified objective is referred to as the current period book yield approach. Accordingly, in the current period book yield approach, the difference between the changes in the contract arising from changes in market variables (ie changes in the fair value of the underlying items) and the insurance investment expense is recognised in OCI.

Economic mismatches do not exist when:

a. the contract is a direct participation contract (ie the entity has an obligation to pay the policyholders the fair value of the underlying items and therefore, applies the variable fee approach); and
b. the entity holds the underlying items, either by choice or because it is required to.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that when an entity is required to change between the effective yield approach and the current period book yield approach (and vice versa), the entity shall:

a. not restate the opening accumulated balance of OCI;
b. recognise in profit or loss the accumulated balance of OCI on the date of the change in the period of change and in future periods as follows:
   i. when the entity had previously applied the effective yield approach, the entity should recognise the accumulated balance of OCI in profit or loss using an effective yield determined by applying the same assumptions that applied prior to the change; and
   ii. when the entity had previously applied the current period book yield, the entity should continue to recognise the accumulated balance of OCI in profit or loss using the same assumptions that applied prior to the change.

Those assumptions are subsequently not updated.

a. not restate prior period comparatives; and
b. disclose, in the period that the change in approach occurred:
   i. an explanation of:
      1. the reason for the change; and
      2. the effect of the change on each financial statement line item affected.
   ii. the value of the contracts that no longer qualified for the current period book yield but previously qualified (and vice versa).

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that it should extend to contracts with participating features its previous decisions for contracts without participation features. Accordingly for all insurance contracts, an entity:

a. could choose, as its accounting policy, either:
   i. to disaggregate changes in market variables between profit or loss and
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OCR; or

ii. to present the insurance investment expense in profit or loss using a current measurement basis.

b. should apply that accounting policy to groups of similar contracts, taking into consideration the portfolio in which the contracts are included, the assets that the entity holds and how those assets are accounted for; and

c. should apply the requirements in IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors to any changes in that accounting policy.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that, for all insurance contracts, an entity should present changes in estimates of the amount of cash flows that result from changes in market variables in the same location in the statement of comprehensive income consistently with the changes in discount rates.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided that:

a. the objective of disaggregating insurance finance income or expenses between profit or loss and OCI should not be to present insurance finance income or expenses in profit or loss on a cost measurement basis. Instead, the objective should be to present in profit or loss a systematic allocation of the total expected insurance finance income or expenses over the life of the contract.

b. the forthcoming insurance contracts Standard should provide guidance that, in this context, a systematic allocation:

i. is based on characteristics of the contract without reference to factors that do not affect the cash flows of the contract. For example, the allocation of the total expected finance income or expenses should not be based on expected recognised returns from assets if those expected recognised returns do not affect those cash flows.

ii. results in the amounts recognised in OCI over the life of the contract totalling zero.

c. the forthcoming insurance contracts Standard should provide further guidance that:

i. for insurance contracts for which changes in financial assumptions do not have a substantial effect on the amounts paid to the policyholder, the systematic allocation is determined using the discount rate(s) applicable at the inception of the contract; and

ii. for insurance contracts for which changes in financial assumptions have a substantial effect on the amounts paid to the policyholder, a systematic allocation can be determined in one of the following ways:

1. using a rate that allocates the remaining revised expected finance expenses over the remaining life of the contract at a constant rate; or

2. if the contracts use a crediting rate to determine amounts due to the policyholder, using an allocation based on the
For contracts that were acquired in a business combination or a portfolio transfer, the discount rates at initial recognition that are used to measure the interest expense recognised in profit or loss are the discount rates that applied at the acquisition date.

For reinsurance contracts held, interest income is recognised as described in paragraph 60(h). That interest income is determined using the discount rates that applied when the contract was initially recognised. The entity shall recognise in other comprehensive income the difference between the carrying amount of the reinsurance contract measured using the interest rates specified in paragraph 25, as determined at the reporting date, and the carrying amount of the reinsurance contract measured using the discount rate specified in paragraph 60(h).

An entity shall not offset income or expense from reinsurance contracts against the expense or income from insurance contracts.

Unless paragraph 66 applies, an entity shall recognise and present in other comprehensive income the difference between:

(a) the carrying amount of the insurance contract measured using the discount rates specified in paragraph 25 that applied at the reporting date; and

(b) the carrying amount of the insurance contract measured using the discount rates specified in paragraph 60(h).

When an entity derecognises insurance contracts, it shall reclassify to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment (see IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements) any remaining amounts that relate to those contracts that were previously recognised in other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 64.

If an entity applies paragraphs 33–34 because the insurance contract requires the entity to hold underlying items and specifies a link to returns on those underlying items, an entity shall recognise:

(a) changes in the fulfilment cash flows that result from applying paragraphs 33–34 in profit or loss or other comprehensive income on the same basis as the recognition of changes in the value of the underlying items;

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 ED proposals related to presentation of line items relating to insurance contracts in the financial statements.

Please refer to paragraph 60 (h)

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that the mirroring approach proposed in paragraphs 33-34 of the 2013 ED should not be permitted or required.
(b) changes in the fulfilment cash flows that are expected to vary indirectly with those returns on underlying items in profit or loss; and

(c) changes in the fulfilment cash flows that are not expected to vary with those returns on underlying items, including those that are expected to vary with other factors (for example, with mortality rates) and those that are fixed (for example, fixed death benefits), in profit or loss and in other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraphs 60–65.

An entity shall not offset income or expense from the underlying items against expense or income from the insurance contract.

Paragraph 20 requires an entity to treat an insurance contract as a monetary item under IAS 21 for the purpose of recognising foreign exchange gains and losses. Accordingly, an entity recognises exchange differences on changes in the insurance contract in profit or loss, unless they relate to changes in the insurance contract that are recognised in other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 64, in which case they shall be recognised in other comprehensive income.

Disclosure

The objective of the disclosure requirements is to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of future cash flows that arise from contracts within the scope of this [draft] Standard. To achieve that objective, an entity shall disclose qualitative and quantitative information about:

(a) the amounts recognised in its financial statements that arise from insurance contracts (see paragraphs 73–82);

(b) the significant judgements, and changes in those judgements, made when applying the [draft] Standard (see paragraphs 83–85); and

(c) the nature and extent of the risks that arise from contracts within the scope of this [draft] Standard (see paragraphs 86–95).

If any of the disclosures set out in paragraphs 73–95 are not considered relevant in meeting the requirements in paragraph 69, they may be omitted from the financial statements. If the disclosures provided in accordance with paragraphs 73–95 are insufficient to meet the requirements in paragraph 69, an entity shall disclose additional information that is necessary to meet those requirements.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to confirm the disclosures proposed in paragraphs 69-95 of the 2013 ED, with some changes (see respective disclosures below).
An entity shall consider the level of detail necessary to satisfy the disclosure objective and how much emphasis to place on each of the various requirements. The entity shall aggregate or disaggregate information so that useful information is not obscured by either the inclusion of a large amount of insignificant detail or by the aggregation of items that have different characteristics.

Examples of disaggregation bases that might be appropriate are:
(a) type of contract (for example, major product lines);
(b) geographical area (for example, country or region); or
(c) reportable segment, as defined in IFRS 8 *Operating Segments*.

**Explanation of recognised amounts**

An entity shall provide sufficient information to permit a reconciliation of the amounts disclosed to the line items that are presented in the statements of profit or loss and other comprehensive income and of financial position. To comply with this requirement, an entity shall disclose, in tabular format, the reconciliations required by paragraphs 74–76, separately for insurance contracts and reinsurance contracts.

An entity shall disclose reconciliations that show how the carrying amounts of insurance contracts that are in a liability position and insurance contracts that are in an asset position are affected by cash flows and income and expenses recognised in profit or loss and other comprehensive income. Those reconciliations shall separately reconcile from the opening to the closing balances of:
(a) liabilities for the remaining coverage, excluding any amounts included in (b);
(b) liabilities for the remaining coverage that are attributable to amounts immediately recognised in profit or loss; and
(c) liabilities for any incurred claims.

An entity shall disclose reconciliations that show how the aggregate carrying amounts of reinsurance contracts held in an asset position and reinsurance

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
contracts held in a liability position are affected by cash flows and income and expense presented in profit or loss. Those reconciliations shall separately reconcile from the opening to the closing balances of:

(a) the expected value of the recovery that relates to the remaining coverage, excluding the amounts included in (b);

(b) the expected value of the recovery that relates to the remaining coverage that is attributable to changes in estimates that are immediately recognised in profit or loss; and

(c) the expected value of the recovery that relates to any incurred claims that arise from the underlying insurance contract.

Subject to paragraph 77, an entity shall disclose a reconciliation that separately reconciles the opening and closing balances of:

(a) the expected present value of the future cash flows;

(b) the risk adjustment; and

(c) the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 25 April 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 ED proposals that an entity should:

a. present insurance contract revenue and expense in the statement of comprehensive income, as proposed in paragraphs 56–59 and B88–B91; and

b. disclose the following:
   i. a reconciliation that separately reconciles the opening and closing balance of the components of the insurance contract asset or liability (paragraph 76);
   ii. a reconciliation from the premiums received in the period to the insurance contract revenue in the period (paragraph 79);
   iii. the inputs used when determining the insurance contract revenue that is recognized in the period (paragraph 81(a)); and
   iv. the effect of the insurance contracts that are initially recognised in the period on the amounts that are recognised in the statement of financial position (paragraph 81(b)).

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity should disclose changes in the fulfilment cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin.

An entity need not provide the reconciliation in paragraph 76 to the extent that the entity:

(a) applies the measurement exception in paragraphs 33–34 for contracts that require the entity to hold underlying items and specify a link to returns on those underlying items; or

(b) simplifies the measurement of insurance contracts or reinsurance contracts in accordance with paragraphs 38–40 or 42(a).

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that the mirroring approach proposed in paragraphs 33-34 of the 2013 ED should not be permitted or required.
For each reconciliation required by paragraphs 74–76, an entity shall separately identify each of the following, if applicable:

(a) premiums received for insurance contracts issued (or paid for reinsurance contracts held);
(b) claims paid for insurance contracts issued (or recovered under reinsurance contracts held);
(c) each of the amounts recognised in profit or loss in accordance with paragraph 60, if applicable;
(d) gains and losses that arose on modification or derecognition of an insurance contract (see paragraphs 52–53);
(e) amounts that relate to contracts acquired from, or transferred to, other entities in portfolio transfers or business combinations (see paragraphs 44–45); and
(f) any additional line items that may be needed to understand the change in the contract assets and the contract liabilities.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity should disclose changes in the fulfilment cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin.

An entity shall disclose a reconciliation from the premiums received in the period to the insurance contract revenue recognised in the period.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to delete the proposed requirements that an entity should disclose a reconciliation of revenue recognised in profit or loss in the period to premiums received in the period (paragraph 79 of the 2013 ED).

If an entity applies the requirements of paragraphs 33–34 and 66 to insurance contracts that require the entity to hold underlying items and specify a link to returns on those underlying items:

(a) the entity shall disclose the amounts in the financial statements that arise from the cash flows to which the entity has applied paragraphs 33–34 and 66; and
(b) if the entity discloses the fair value of underlying items that are measured on a basis other than fair value, it shall disclose the extent to which the difference between the fair value and the carrying amount of the underlying items would be passed on to policyholders.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity should disclose changes in the fulfilment cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin.

For contracts to which paragraphs 38–40 or 42(a) are not applied, the entity shall disclose:

(a) the following inputs that are used when determining the insurance contract revenue that is recognised in the period:

At its meeting on 25 April 2014 the Board tentatively decided that an entity should:

a. present insurance contract revenue and expense in the statement of comprehensive income, as proposed in paragraphs 56–59 and B88–B91; and
b. should disclose the following:
   i. a reconciliation that separately reconciles the opening and closing
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(i) the expected cash outflows for the period, excluding investment components;
(ii) the acquisition costs that are allocated to the period;
(iii) the change in risk adjustment in the period; and
(iv) the amount of the contractual service margin recognised in the period.

(b) the effect of the insurance contracts that are initially recognised in the period on the amounts that are recognised in the statement of financial position. That disclosure shall separately show the effect of those contracts on:

(i) the expected present value of future cash outflows, showing separately the amount of the acquisition costs;
(ii) the expected present value of future cash inflows;
(iii) the risk adjustment; and
(iv) the contractual service margin.

An entity shall disclose the interest on insurance contracts in a way that highlights the relationship between the interest on the insurance contracts and the investment return on the related assets that the entity holds.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided that that an entity should disclose, for all portfolios of insurance contracts an analysis of total interest expense included in total comprehensive income disaggregated at a minimum into:

a. the amount of interest accretion determined using current discount rates;
b. the effect on the measurement of the insurance contract of changes in discount rates in the period; and
c. the difference between the present value of changes in expected cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin in a reporting period when measured using discount rates that applied on initial recognition of insurance contracts, and the present value of changes in expected cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin when measured at current rates.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that when an entity is required to change between the effective yield approach and the current period book yield approach (and vice versa), the entity shall:

a. not restate the opening accumulated balance of OCI;
b. not restate prior period comparatives; and
c. disclose, in the period that the change in approach occurred:
   i. an explanation of:
      1. the reason for the change; and
      2. the effect of the change on each financial statement line item
The Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity that chooses to disaggregate investment interest expense into an amount presented in profit or loss and an amount presented in OCI, and uses the simplified approach at transition that results in the accumulated balance in OCI for the insurance contract being zero, should disclose a reconciliation from the opening to closing balance of the accumulated balance of OCI for financial assets relating to contracts within the scope of the new insurance contracts Standard that are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI) in accordance with paragraph 4.1.2a of IFRS 9. The reconciliation should be provided at the date of transition and in each subsequent reporting period. The entity would designate financial assets (that are classified in the FVOCI measurement category) as relating to contracts within the scope of the new insurance contracts Standard at the date of initial application.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. it would not require an entity to disclose an analysis of the total insurance finance income or expenses recognised in the statement(s) of financial performance disaggregated at a minimum into:
   i. the interest accretion calculated using current discount rates;
   ii. the effect of changes in discount rates in the period on the measurement of insurance contracts; and
   iii. the difference between the present value of changes in expected cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin in a reporting period, measured using discount rates that applied on initial recognition of

a. the value of the contracts that no longer qualified for the current period book yield but previously qualified (and vice versa).
Significant judgments in applying the [draft] Standard

An entity shall disclose the judgments, and changes in those judgments, that were made in applying this [draft] Standard. At a minimum, an entity shall disclose:

(a) the methods used to measure insurance contracts and the processes for estimating the inputs to those methods. When practicable, the entity shall also provide quantitative information about those inputs.

(b) to the extent not covered in (a), the methods and inputs that are used to estimate:

(i) the risk adjustment;

(ii) discount rates;

(iii) the pattern of recognition of the contractual service margin; and

those insurance contracts, and measured at current rates; and

b. it would include an objective in the forthcoming Standard that an entity should explain the total amount of insurance finance income or expenses in a reporting period, and to fulfil that objective an entity should:

i. explain the relationship between insurance finance income or expenses and the investment return on the related assets the entity holds to provide investors with sufficient information to understand the sources of net finance income or expenses recognised in profit or loss and other comprehensive income; and

ii. disclose an explanation of the methods the entity uses to calculate the insurance finance income or expenses presented in profit or loss.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity that chooses to disaggregate investment interest expense into an amount presented in profit or loss and an amount presented in OCI should disclose an explanation of the method that an entity uses to calculate the cost information presented in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 21 May 2014 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to confirm the principle in the 2013 ED that an entity should recognise the remaining contractual service margin in profit or loss over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of the services that are provided under an insurance contract; and

b. clarify that, for contracts with no participating features, the service represented by the contractual service margin is insurance coverage that:

i. is provided on the basis of the passage of time; and

ii. reflects the expected number of contracts in force.
At its meeting on 25 June 2015 the Board tentatively decided that for all insurance contracts with participation features, an entity should recognise the contractual service margin in profit or loss on the basis of the passage of time.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. the objective for the adjustment and allocation of the contractual service margin should be that the contractual service margin at the end of a reporting period represents the profit for the future services to be provided for a group of contracts.

b. an entity should measure the contractual service margin using the group used for deciding when contracts are onerous. Consequently, an entity should measure the contractual service margin by grouping insurance contracts that at inception have:
   i. expected cash flows the entity expects will respond similarly in terms of amount and timing to changes in key assumptions.
   ii. similar expected profitability, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of the total expected revenue. An entity can use as a practical expedient the expected return on premiums, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of expected premiums.

c. an entity should reflect the expected duration and size of the contracts remaining in the group at the end of the period when allocating the contractual service margin of the group of contracts to the profit or loss statement.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to retain the definition of portfolio in draft IFRS 17 Insurance Contracts, ie that a portfolio is a group of contracts subject to similar risks and managed together as a single pool. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that contracts within each product line, such as annuities or whole-life, would be expected to have similar risks, and hence contracts from different product lines would not be expected in the same portfolio.

b. to require entities to identify onerous contracts at inception and group them separately from contracts not onerous at inception. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that entities could measure contracts together if the entity can determine that those contracts can be grouped with others based on available information at inception.

c. to require entities to measure insurance contracts not onerous at inception by dividing the portfolio into two groups—a group of contracts that have no
significant risk of becoming onerous and a group of other profitable contracts. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that:

i. an entity should assess the risk of the contracts in a group becoming onerous in a manner consistent with the entity’s internal reporting about changes in estimates.

ii. an entity should assess the risk of contracts in the group becoming onerous based on the sensitivity of the fulfilment cash flows to changes in estimates which, if they occurred, would result in the contracts becoming onerous.

iii. an entity is permitted to divide a portfolio into more than two groups. For example, an entity may choose to divide a portfolio into more groups if the entity’s internal reporting provides information that distinguishes the different risks of contracts becoming onerous.

d. prohibit entities from grouping contracts issued more than one year apart.

e. to require entities to allocate the contractual service margin for a group of contracts on the basis of the passage of time. Thus the contractual service margin should be allocated over the current period and expected remaining coverage period and that allocation should be on the basis of coverage units, reflecting the expected duration and size of the contracts in the group.

These decisions revise the Board’s previous decisions on the level of aggregation for the measurement of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.

(iv) any investment components that are not separated in accordance with paragraph 10(b).

(c) the effect of changes in the methods and inputs that are used to measure insurance contracts, separately showing the effect of each change that has a material effect on the financial statements, together
with an explanation of the reason for each change. The entity shall identify the type of contracts affected.

84 If the entity uses a technique other than the confidence level technique for determining the risk adjustment, it shall disclose a translation of the result of that technique into a confidence level (for example, that the risk adjustment was estimated using technique Y and corresponds to a confidence level of Z per cent).

85 An entity shall disclose the yield curve (or range of yield curves) that is used to discount the cash flows that do not depend on the returns from underlying items in accordance with paragraph 25. When an entity provides disclosures in total for a grouping of portfolios, it shall provide such disclosures in the form of weighted averages or relatively narrow ranges.

Nature and extent of risks that arise from insurance contracts

86 An entity shall disclose information about the nature and extent of risks that arise from insurance contracts to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of future cash flows that arise from insurance contracts. Paragraphs 87–95 contain the minimum disclosures that would normally be required to comply with this requirement.

87 An entity shall disclose:
(a) the exposures to risks and how they arise;
(b) its objectives, policies and processes for managing risks that arise from insurance contracts and the methods that are used to manage those risks; and
(c) any changes in (a) or (b) from the previous period.

88 An entity shall disclose information about the effect of each regulatory framework in which the entity operates; for example, minimum capital requirements or required interest rate guarantees.

89 An entity shall disclose information about insurance risk on a gross basis and a net basis, before and after risk mitigation (for example, by reinsurance), including information about:
(a) sensitivity to the insurance risk in relation to its effect on profit or loss and equity. This shall be disclosed by a sensitivity analysis that shows any material effect on profit or loss and equity that would
have resulted from:

(i) changes in the relevant risk variable that were reasonably possible at the end of the reporting period; and

(ii) changes in the methods and inputs that are used in preparing the sensitivity analysis.

However, if an entity uses an alternative method to manage sensitivity to market conditions, such as embedded value analysis or value at risk analysis, it can meet this requirement by disclosing that alternative sensitivity analysis.

(b) Concentrations of insurance risk, including a description of how management determines the concentrations and a description of the shared characteristic that identifies each concentration (for example, the type of insured event, geographical area or currency). Concentrations of insurance risk can arise if an entity has underwritten risks that:

(i) are concentrated in one geographical area or one industry; or

(ii) are present in its investment portfolio, for example, if an entity provides product liability protection to pharmaceutical companies and also holds investments in those companies.

An entity shall disclose actual claims compared with previous estimates of the undiscounted amount of the claims (ie claims development). The disclosure about claims development shall go back to the period when the earliest material claim(s) arose for which there was uncertainty about the amount and timing of the claims payments, but need not go back more than ten years. The entity need not disclose information about the development of claims for which uncertainty about the amount and timing of the claims payments is typically resolved within one year. The entity shall reconcile the disclosure about claims development with the aggregate carrying amount of the insurance contracts in a liability position and insurance contracts in an asset position, which the entity discloses to comply with paragraph 74.

For each type of risk, other than insurance risk, that arises from insurance contracts, an entity shall disclose:

(a) summary quantitative information about its exposure to that risk at the end of the reporting period. This disclosure shall be based on the information that was provided internally to the key management personnel of the entity and shall provide information about the risk management techniques and methodologies that are applied by the
entity.

(b) concentrations of risk if not apparent from other disclosures. Such concentrations can arise, for example, from interest rate guarantees that come into effect at the same level for an entire portfolio of contracts.

92 For credit risk that arises from insurance contracts issued and reinsurance contracts held, an entity shall disclose:

(a) the amount that best represents its maximum exposure to credit risk at the end of the reporting period; and

(b) information about the credit quality of reinsurance contract assets.

93 With regard to liquidity risk, an entity shall disclose:

(a) a description of how it manages the liquidity risk that results from its insurance liabilities;

(b) the amounts that are payable on demand, in a way that highlights the relationship between such amounts and the carrying amount of the related contracts; and

(c) a maturity analysis that shows, at a minimum, the net cash flows that result from recognised insurance contracts for each of the first five years after the reporting date and in aggregate beyond the first five years. This may take the form of an analysis, by estimated timing, of the amounts recognised in the statement of financial position. However, an entity is not required to disclose a maturity analysis for the liability for the remaining coverage measured in accordance with paragraphs 38–40 or 42(a).

94 For market risk that arises from embedded derivatives that are contained in a host insurance contract and not separated in accordance with paragraph 10(a), an entity shall disclose:

(a) a sensitivity analysis for each type of market risk to which the entity is exposed at the end of the reporting period, showing how profit or loss, other comprehensive income and equity would have been affected by changes in the relevant risk variable that were reasonably possible at that date. If an entity uses an alternative method to manage the sensitivity to market conditions, such as an embedded value analysis, or a sensitivity analysis such as the value at risk, that reflects interdependencies between the risk variables and that can be used to manage financial risks, it may use that sensitivity analysis to meet this requirement.

(b) an explanation of the methods and the main inputs that were used in
preparing the sensitivity analysis.

(c) changes from the previous period in the methods and inputs that were used and the reasons for such changes.

95 If the quantitative information about the entity’s exposure to risk at the end of the reporting period is not representative of its exposure to risk during the period, it shall disclose that fact and the reasons for those conclusions and provide further information that is representative of the exposure during the period.

Appendix A
Defined terms

This appendix is an integral part of the [draft] Standard.

acquisition costs The costs of selling, underwriting and initiating an insurance contract.

At its meeting on 25 June 2015 the Board tentatively decided that, contracts with direct participation features should be defined as contracts for which:

a. the contractual terms specify that the policyholder participates in a defined share of a clearly identified pool of underlying items;

b. the entity expects to pay to the policyholder an amount equal to a substantial share of the returns from the underlying items; and
c. a substantial proportion of the cash flows that the entity expects to pay to the policyholder should be expected to vary with the cash flows from the underlying items.

**contractual service margin**
A component of the measurement of the insurance contract representing the unearned profit that the entity recognises as it provides services under the insurance contract.

**coverage period**
The period during which the entity provides coverage for insured events. That period includes the coverage that relates to all premiums within the boundary of the insurance contract.

**financial risk**
The risk of a possible future change in one or more of a specified interest rate, financial instrument price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index of prices or rates, credit rating or credit index or other variable, provided in the case of a non-financial variable that the variable is not specific to a party to the contract.

**fulfilment cash flows**
An explicit, unbiased and probability-weighted estimate (ie expected value) of the present value of the future cash outflows less the present value of the future cash inflows that will arise as the entity fulfils the insurance contract, including a risk adjustment.

**insurance contract**
A contract under which one party (the issuer) accepts significant insurance risk from another party (the policyholder) by agreeing to compensate the policyholder if a specified uncertain future event (the insured event) adversely affects the policyholder.

**insurance risk**
Risk, other than financial risk, transferred from the holder of a contract to the issuer.

At its meeting on 21 May 2014 the Board tentatively decided to clarify the guidance in paragraph B19 of the 2013 ED that significant insurance risk only occurs when there is a possibility that an issuer will incur a loss on a present value basis.

**insured event**
An uncertain future event that is covered by an insurance contract and that creates insurance risk.

**investment component**
The amounts that an insurance contract requires the entity to repay to a policyholder even if an insured
investment contract with a discretionary participation feature

A financial instrument that provides a particular investor with the contractual right to receive, as a supplement to an amount that is not subject to the discretion of the issuer, additional amounts:

(a) that are likely to be a significant portion of the total contractual benefits;
(b) whose amount or timing is contractually at the discretion of the issuer; and
(c) that are contractually based on:
   (i) the returns from a specified pool of insurance contracts or a specified type of insurance contract;
   (ii) realised and/or unrealised investment returns on a specified pool of assets held by the issuer; or
   (iii) the profit or loss of the entity or fund that issues the contract.

liability for incurred claims

The obligation that an entity has to investigate, and pay claims for, insured events that have already occurred, including incurred claims for events that have occurred but for which claims have not been reported (IBNR).

liability for the remaining coverage

An entity’s obligation to pay valid claims that arise under existing insurance contracts for insured events that have not yet occurred (ie the obligation that relates to the unexpired portion of the coverage period).

policyholder

A party that has a right to compensation under an insurance contract if an insured event occurs.

portfolio of insurance contracts

A group of insurance contracts that:

(a) provide coverage for similar risks and that are priced similarly relative to the risk taken on; and
(b) are managed together as a single pool.

At its meeting on 17 June 2014 the Board tentatively decided to amend the definition of a portfolio of insurance contracts to be “insurance contracts that provide coverage for similar risks and are managed together as a single pool”.

At its meeting on 20 January 2016 the Board tentatively decided to require a loss for onerous contracts to be recognised only when the contractual service margin is negative for a group of contracts, and that the group should comprise contracts that at inception:

a. have cash flows that the entity expects will respond in similar ways to key drivers of risk in terms of amount and timing; and
b. had similar expected profitability (ie similar contractual service margin as a percentage of the premium).

At the same meeting the Board also tentatively decided that there should be no exception to the level of aggregation for determining onerous contracts or the allocation of the contractual service margin when regulation affects the pricing of contracts. Accordingly, contracts with dissimilar profitability, even if as a consequence of regulation, may not be grouped for determining onerous contracts and for the allocation of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. the objective for the adjustment and allocation of the contractual service margin should be that the contractual service margin at the end of a reporting period represents the profit for the future services to be provided for a group of contracts.

b. an entity should measure the contractual service margin using the group used for deciding when contracts are onerous. Consequently, an entity should measure the contractual service margin by grouping insurance contracts that at inception have:
   i. expected cash flows the entity expects will respond similarly in terms of amount and timing to changes in key assumptions.
   ii. similar expected profitability, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of the total expected revenue. An entity can use as a practical expedient the expected return on premiums, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of expected premiums.

c. an entity should reflect the expected duration and size of the contracts remaining in the group at the end of the period when allocating the contractual service margin of the group of contracts to the profit or loss statement.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to retain the definition of portfolio in draft IFRS 17 Insurance Contracts, ie that a portfolio is a group of contracts subject to similar risks and managed together as a single pool. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that contracts within each product line, such as annuities or whole-life, would be expected to have similar risks, and hence contracts from different product lines would not be expected in the same portfolio.

b. to require entities to identify onerous contracts at inception and group them separately from contracts not onerous at inception. IFRS 17 would provide
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guidance that entities could measure contracts together if the entity can determine that those contracts can be grouped with others based on available information at inception.

c. to require entities to measure insurance contracts not onerous at inception by dividing the portfolio into two groups—a group of contracts that have no significant risk of becoming onerous and a group of other profitable contracts. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that:

i. an entity should assess the risk of the contracts in a group becoming onerous in a manner consistent with the entity’s internal reporting about changes in estimates.

ii. an entity should assess the risk of contracts in the group becoming onerous based on the sensitivity of the fulfilment cash flows to changes in estimates which, if they occurred, would result in the contracts becoming onerous.

iii. an entity is permitted to divide a portfolio into more than two groups. For example, an entity may choose to divide a portfolio into more groups if the entity’s internal reporting provides information that distinguishes the different risks of contracts becoming onerous.

d. prohibit entities from grouping contracts issued more than one year apart.

e. to require entities to allocate the contractual service margin for a group of contracts on the basis of the passage of time. Thus the contractual service margin should be allocated over the current period and expected remaining coverage period and that allocation should be on the basis of coverage units, reflecting the expected duration and size of the contracts in the group.

These decisions revise the Board’s previous decisions on the level of aggregation for the measurement of the contractual service margin.

pre-coverage cash flows

Cash flows paid or received before the insurance contract is recognised that relate directly to the acquisition or the fulfilment of the portfolio of insurance contracts that will contain the insurance contract.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
An **insurance contract** issued by one entity (the ‘reinsurer’) to compensate another entity (the ‘cedant’) for claims arising from one or more **insurance contracts** that are issued by the cedant.

The compensation that an entity requires for bearing the uncertainty about the amount and timing of the cash flows that arise as the entity fulfils the **insurance contract**.

**Appendix B**

**Application guidance**

*This appendix is an integral part of the [draft] Standard.*

B1 This appendix provides guidance on the following issues:

(a) definition of an insurance contract (see paragraphs B2–B30);
(b) separating components from an insurance contract (see paragraphs B31–B35);
(c) measurement (see paragraphs B36–B82);
(d) contracts that require the entity to hold underlying items and specify a link to returns on those underlying items (see paragraphs B83–B87); and
(e) presentation of insurance contract revenue and expenses (see paragraphs B88–B91).

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that the mirroring approach proposed in paragraphs 33-34 of the 2013 ED should not be permitted or required.
Definition of an insurance contract (Appendix A)

B2 This section provides guidance on the definition of an insurance contract as specified in Appendix A. It addresses the following:
(a) the term ‘uncertain future event’ (see paragraphs B3–B5);
(b) payments in kind (see paragraph B6);
(c) the distinction between insurance risk and other risks (see paragraphs B7–B16);
(d) significant insurance risk (see paragraphs B17–B23);
(e) changes in the level of insurance risk (see paragraphs B24–B25); and
(f) examples of insurance contracts (see paragraphs B26–B30).

Uncertain future event

B3 Uncertainty (or risk) is the essence of an insurance contract. Accordingly, at least one of the following is uncertain at the inception of an insurance contract:
(a) the probability of an insured event occurring;
(b) when the insured event will occur; or
(c) how much the entity will need to pay if the insured event occurs.

B4 In some insurance contracts, the insured event is the discovery of a loss during the term of the contract, even if that loss arises from an event that occurred before the inception of the contract. In other insurance contracts, the insured event is an event that occurs during the term of the contract, even if the resulting loss is discovered after the end of the contract term.

B5 Some insurance contracts cover events that have already occurred but whose financial effect is still uncertain. An example is an insurance contract that provides coverage against an adverse development of an event that has already occurred. In such contracts, the insured event is the discovery of the ultimate cost of those claims.
Payments in kind

Some insurance contracts require or permit payments to be made in kind. In such cases, the entity provides goods or services to the policyholder to settle its obligation to compensate them for insured events. An example is when the entity replaces a stolen article instead of reimbursing the policyholder for the amount of its loss. Another example is when an entity uses its own hospitals and medical staff to provide medical services covered by the insurance contract. Such contracts are insurance contracts, even though the claims are settled in kind. Fixed-fee service contracts that meet the conditions specified in paragraph 7(e) are insurance contracts, but not within the scope of this [draft] Standard.

Distinction between insurance risk and other risks

The definition of an insurance contract requires that one party must accept significant insurance risk from another party. This [draft] Standard defines insurance risk as risk, other than financial risk, that is transferred by the contract from the holder of a contract to the issuer. A contract that exposes the issuer to financial risk without significant insurance risk is not an insurance contract.

The definition of financial risk in Appendix A refers to financial and non-financial variables. Examples of non-financial variables that are not specific to a party to the contract include an index of earthquake losses in a particular region or temperatures in a particular city. Financial risk excludes risk from non-financial variables that are specific to a party to the contract, such as the occurrence or non-occurrence of a fire that damages or destroys an asset of that party. Furthermore, the risk of changes in the fair value of a non-financial asset is not a financial risk if the fair value reflects not only changes in the market prices for such assets (ie a financial variable) but also the condition of a specific non-financial asset held by a party to a contract (ie a non financial variable). For example, if a guarantee of the residual value of a specific car in which the policyholder has an insurable interest exposes the guarantor to the risk of changes in the car’s physical condition, that risk is insurance risk, not financial risk.

Some contracts expose the issuer to financial risk in addition to significant insurance risk. For example, many life insurance contracts guarantee a minimum rate of return to policyholders, creating financial risk, and, at the same time, promise death benefits that may significantly exceed the policyholder’s account balance, creating insurance risk in the form of mortality.
risk. Such contracts are insurance contracts.

B10 Under some contracts, an insured event triggers the payment of an amount that is linked to a price index. Such contracts are insurance contracts, provided that the payment that is contingent on the insured event could be significant. For example, a life-contingent annuity that is linked to a cost-of-living index transfers insurance risk because the payment is triggered by an uncertain future event—the survival of the person who receives the annuity. The link to the price index is an embedded derivative, but it also transfers insurance risk because the number of payments to which the index applies depends on the survival of the annuitant. If the resulting transfer of insurance risk is significant, the embedded derivative meets the definition of an insurance contract, in which case it shall not be separated from the host contract (see paragraph 10(a)).

B11 Insurance risk is the risk that the entity accepts from the policyholder. This means that the entity must accept from the policyholder a risk that the policyholder was already exposed to. Any new risk for the entity or the policyholder that is created by the contract is not insurance risk.

B12 The definition of an insurance contract refers to an adverse effect on the policyholder. This definition does not limit the payment by the entity to an amount that is equal to the financial effect of the adverse event. For example, the definition does not exclude ‘new for old’ coverage that pays the policyholder an amount that permits the replacement of a used and damaged asset with a new one. Similarly, the definition does not limit the payment under a life insurance contract to the financial loss suffered by the deceased’s dependants, nor does it exclude contracts that specify the payment of predetermined amounts to quantify the loss that is caused by death or an accident.

B13 Some contracts require a payment if a specified uncertain future event occurs, but do not require an adverse effect on the policyholder as a precondition for the payment. This type of contract is not an insurance contract even if the holder uses it to mitigate an underlying risk exposure. For example, if the holder uses a derivative to hedge an underlying financial or non-financial variable that is correlated with the cash flows from an asset of the entity, the derivative is not an insurance contract because the payment is not conditional on whether the holder is adversely affected by a reduction in the cash flows from the asset. Conversely, the definition of an insurance contract refers to an uncertain future event for which an adverse effect on the policyholder is a contractual precondition for payment. That contractual precondition does not require the entity to investigate whether the event actually caused an adverse effect, but it does permit the entity to deny the payment if it is not satisfied that the event did cause an adverse effect.
Lapse or persistency risk (the risk that the policyholder will cancel the contract earlier or later than the issuer had expected when pricing the contract) is not insurance risk because the payment to the policyholder is not contingent on an uncertain future event that adversely affects the policyholder. Similarly, expense risk (ie the risk of unexpected increases in the administrative costs associated with the servicing of a contract, rather than in the costs associated with insured events) is not insurance risk because an unexpected increase in such expenses does not adversely affect the policyholder.

Consequently, a contract that exposes the entity to lapse risk, persistency risk or expense risk is not an insurance contract unless it also exposes the entity to significant insurance risk. However, if the entity mitigates that risk by using a second contract to transfer part of that non-insurance risk to another party, the second contract exposes the other party to insurance risk.

An entity can accept significant insurance risk from the policyholder only if the entity is separate from the policyholder. In the case of a mutual entity, the mutual entity accepts risk from each policyholder and pools that risk. Although policyholders bear that pooled risk collectively in their capacity as owners, the mutual entity is a separate entity that has accepted the risk that is the essence of the insurance contracts.

Significant insurance risk

A contract is an insurance contract only if it transfers significant insurance risk. Paragraphs B7–B16 discuss insurance risk. Paragraphs B18–B23 discuss the assessment of whether insurance risk is significant.

Insurance risk is significant if, and only if, an insured event could cause the issuer to pay amounts that are significant in any single scenario, excluding scenarios that have no commercial substance (ie no discernible effect on the economics of the transaction). If an insured event could mean that additional amounts that are significant would be payable in any scenario that has commercial substance, the condition in the previous sentence can be met even if the insured event is extremely unlikely or even if the expected (ie probability-weighted) present value of the contingent cash flows is a small proportion of the expected present value of all of the remaining cash flows from the insurance contract.

In addition, a contract does not transfer insurance risk if there is no scenario that has commercial substance in which the present value of the net cash outflows that is paid by the issuer can exceed the present value of the premiums. However, if a reinsurance contract does not expose the issuer to the possibility of a significant loss, that contract is deemed to transfer significant insurance risk.

At its meeting on 21 May 2014 the Board tentatively decided to clarify the guidance in paragraph B19 of the 2013 ED that significant insurance risk only occurs when there is a possibility that an issuer will incur a loss on a present value basis.
if it transfers to the reinsurer substantially all of the insurance risk relating to the reinsured portions of the underlying insurance contracts.

B20 The additional amounts described in paragraph B18 are determined on a present value basis. Thus, if an insurance contract requires payment if the insured event occurs earlier and if the payment is not adjusted for the time value of money, there may be scenarios in which additional amounts are payable on a present value basis, even if the nominal value of the payment is the same. An example is whole-life insurance for a fixed amount (i.e., insurance that provides a fixed death benefit whenever the policyholder dies, with no expiry date for the cover). It is certain that the policyholder will die, but the date of death is uncertain. Payments may arise because an individual policyholder dies earlier than expected. Because those payments are not adjusted for the time value of money, significant insurance risk could arise, even if there is no overall loss on the whole portfolio of contracts. Similarly, contractual terms that delay timely reimbursement to the policyholder can eliminate significant insurance risk.

B21 The additional amounts described in paragraph B18 refer to the present value of amounts that exceed those that would be payable if no insured event had occurred (excluding scenarios that lack commercial substance). Those additional amounts include claims handling and claims assessment costs, but exclude:

(a) the loss of the ability to charge the policyholder for future services. For example, in an investment-linked life insurance contract, the death of the policyholder means that the entity can no longer perform investment management services and collect a fee for doing so. However, this economic loss for the entity does not result from insurance risk, just as a mutual fund manager does not take on insurance risk in relation to the possible death of a client. Consequently, the potential loss of future investment management fees is not relevant when assessing how much insurance risk is transferred by a contract.

(b) a waiver, on death, of charges that would be made on cancellation or surrender. Because the contract brought those charges into existence, the waiver of these charges does not compensate the policyholder for a pre-existing risk. Consequently, they are not relevant when assessing how much insurance risk is transferred by a contract.

(c) a payment that is conditional on an event that does not cause a significant loss to the holder of the contract. For example, consider a contract that requires the issuer to pay C$1 million if an asset suffers physical damage that causes an insignificant economic loss of C$1
to the holder.\textsuperscript{2} In this contract, the holder transfers the insignificant risk of losing CU1 to the entity. At the same time, the contract creates a non-insurance risk whereby the issuer will need to pay CU999,999 if the specified event occurs. Because the risk of loss is insignificant compared to the payment that would be made in the event of the loss, the issuer does not accept significant insurance risk from the holder and this contract is not an insurance contract.

(d) possible reinsurance recoveries. The entity accounts for these separately.

B22 An entity shall assess the significance of insurance risk on a contract-by-contract basis. Thus, insurance risk can be significant even if there is minimal probability of significant losses for a portfolio of contracts.

B23 It follows from paragraphs B18–B22 that, if a contract pays a death benefit that exceeds the amount payable on survival, the contract is an insurance contract unless the additional death benefit is not significant (judged by reference to the contract rather than to an entire portfolio of contracts). As noted in paragraph B21(b), the waiver on death of cancellation or surrender charges is not included in this assessment if that waiver does not compensate the policyholder for a pre-existing risk. Similarly, an annuity contract that pays out regular sums for the rest of a policyholder’s life is an insurance contract, unless the aggregate life-contingent payments are insignificant.

\textsuperscript{2} In this [draft] Standard, currency amounts are denominated in ‘currency units’ (CU).
Changes in the level of insurance risk

For some contracts, the transfer of insurance risk to the issuer occurs after a period of time. For example, consider a contract that provides a specified investment return and includes an option for the policyholder to use the proceeds of the investment on maturity to buy a life-contingent annuity at the annuity rates that are charged by the entity to other new annuitants at the time that the policyholder exercises that option. Such a contract does not transfer insurance risk to the issuer until the option is exercised because the entity remains free to price the annuity on a basis that reflects the insurance risk that was transferred to the entity at that time. Consequently, the cash flows that would occur on exercise of the option fall outside the boundary of the contract, and before exercise there are no insurance cash flows within the boundary of the current contract. However, if the contract specifies the annuity rates (or a basis for setting the annuity rates), the contract transfers insurance risk to the issuer because the issuer is exposed to the risk that the annuity rates will be unfavourable when the policyholder exercises the option. In that case, the cash flows that would occur when the option is exercised are within the boundary of the current contract.

A contract that meets the definition of an insurance contract remains an insurance contract until all rights and obligations are extinguished (ie discharged, cancelled or expired), unless the contract is derecognised in accordance with paragraph 49(a).

Examples of insurance contracts

The following are examples of contracts that are insurance contracts, if the transfer of insurance risk is significant:

(a) insurance against theft or damage.
(b) insurance against product liability, professional liability, civil liability or legal expense.
(c) life insurance and prepaid funeral plans (although death is certain, it is uncertain when death will occur or, for some types of life insurance, whether death will occur within the period covered by the insurance).
(d) life-contingent annuities and pensions (ie contracts that provide compensation for the uncertain future event—the survival of the annuitant or pensioner—to provide the annuitant or pensioner with a level of income, which would otherwise be adversely affected by his or her survival).
(e) insurance against disability and medical cost.

(f) surety bonds, fidelity bonds, performance bonds and bid bonds (i.e., contracts that compensate the holder if another party fails to perform a contractual obligation, for example, an obligation to construct a building).

(h) title insurance (insurance against the discovery of defects in the title to land or buildings that were not apparent when the insurance contract was issued). In this case, the insured event is the discovery of a defect in the title, not the defect itself.

(i) travel insurance (compensation in cash or in kind to policyholders for losses suffered in advance of, or during, travel).

(j) catastrophe bonds that provide for reduced payments of principal, interest or both if a specified event adversely affects the issuer of the bond (unless the specified event does not create significant insurance risk, for example, if the event is a change in an interest rate or a foreign exchange rate).

(k) insurance swaps and other contracts that require a payment depending on changes in climatic, geological or other physical variables that are specific to a party to the contract.

(l) reinsurance contracts.

B27 The following are examples of items that are not insurance contracts:

(a) investment contracts that have the legal form of an insurance contract but do not expose the entity to significant insurance risk. For example, life insurance contracts in which the entity bears no significant mortality or morbidity risk are not insurance contracts; such contracts are non-insurance financial instruments or service contracts—see paragraphs B28–B29. However, investment contracts with a discretionary participation feature are within the scope of this [draft] Standard, although those contracts do not meet the definition of an insurance contract.

(b) contracts that have the legal form of insurance, but pass all significant insurance risk back to the policyholder through non-cancellable and enforceable mechanisms that adjust future payments by the policyholder to the issuer as a direct result of insured losses. For example, some financial reinsurance contracts or some group contracts pass all significant insurance risk back to the policyholders; such contracts are normally non-insurance financial instruments or service contracts—see paragraphs B28–
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(c) self-insurance (ie retaining a risk that could have been covered by insurance). In such situations, there is no insurance contract because there is no agreement with another party. Thus, if an entity (this type of issuer is often referred to as a ‘captive’) issues insurance contracts only to other entities within a group, the parent would not account for those contracts as insurance contracts in the consolidated financial statements of the group because there is no contract with another party. However, in the financial statements of the captive, the contract would be accounted for as an insurance contract.

(d) contracts (such as gambling contracts) that require a payment if a specified uncertain future event occurs, but that do not require, as a contractual precondition for payment, the event to adversely affect the policyholder. However, this does not preclude the specification of a predetermined payout to quantify the loss that is caused by a specified event such as a death or an accident—see paragraph B12.

(e) derivatives that expose a party to financial risk but not insurance risk, because they require that party to make (or give them the right to receive) payment solely on the basis of the changes in one or more of a specified interest rate, a financial instrument price, a commodity price, a foreign exchange rate, an index of prices or rates, a credit rating or a credit index or any other variable, provided that, in the case of a non-financial variable, the variable is not specific to a party to the contract. Such contracts are within the scope of IFRS 9 Financial Instruments.

(f) credit-related guarantees (or letters of credit, credit derivative default contracts or credit insurance contracts) that require payments even if the holder has not incurred a loss on the failure of the debtor to make payments when due; such contracts are accounted for in accordance with IFRS 9—see paragraph B30.

(g) contracts that require a payment that depends on a climatic, geological or any other physical variable that is not specific to a party to the contract (commonly described as weather derivatives).

(h) catastrophe bonds that provide for reduced payments of principal, interest or both, that depend on a climatic, geological or any other physical variable that is not specific to a party to the contract.

If the contracts described in paragraph B27 create financial assets or financial liabilities, they are within the scope of IFRS 9.
B29 If the contracts described in paragraph B27 do not create financial assets or financial liabilities, they are within the scope of other applicable Standards, such as [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

B30 The credit-related guarantees and credit insurance discussed in paragraph B27(f) can have various legal forms, such as that of a guarantee, some types of letters of credit, a credit default contract or an insurance contract. If those contracts require the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss that the holder incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due to the policyholder in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument, they are insurance contracts. However, those insurance contracts are excluded from the scope of this [draft] Standard unless the issuer has previously asserted explicitly that it regards the contract as an insurance contract and has used accounting that is applicable to insurance contracts (see paragraph 7(f)). Credit-related guarantees and credit insurance contracts that require payment even if the policyholder has not incurred a loss on the failure of the debtor to make payments when due are not within the scope of this [draft] Standard because they do not transfer significant insurance risk. Such contracts include those that require payment:

(a) regardless of whether the counterparty holds the underlying debt instrument; or

(b) on a change in the credit rating or the credit index, rather than on the failure of a specified debtor to make payments when due.
Separating components from an insurance contract
(paragraphs 9–11)

Investment components

Paragraph 10(b) requires an entity to separate a distinct investment component from the host insurance contract. Unless the investment component and insurance component are highly interrelated, an investment component is distinct if a contract with equivalent terms is sold, or could be sold, separately in the same market or same jurisdiction, either by entities that issue insurance contracts or by other parties. The entity shall take into account all information that is reasonably available in making this determination. The entity need not undertake an exhaustive search to identify whether an investment component is sold separately.

An investment component and insurance component are highly interrelated if:

(a) the entity is unable to measure the one without considering the other. Thus, if the value of one component varies according to the value of the other, an entity shall apply this [draft] Standard to account for the whole contract containing the investment component and the insurance component; or

(b) the policyholder is unable to benefit from one component unless the other is also present. Thus, if the lapse or maturity of one component in a contract causes the lapse or maturity of the other, the entity shall apply this [draft] Standard to account for the whole contract containing the investment component and insurance component.

Performance obligations to provide goods or services

Paragraph 10(c) requires an entity to separate from an insurance contract a distinct performance obligation to provide goods or services. A performance obligation is defined in [draft] IFRS X Revenue from Contracts with Customers as a promise in a contract with a customer to transfer a good or service to the customer. Performance obligations include promises that are implied by an entity’s customary business practices, published policies or specific statements if those promises create a valid expectation held by the policyholder that the entity will transfer a good or service. Performance obligations do not include activities that an entity must undertake to fulfil a contract unless the entity transfers a good or service to the policyholder as those activities occur. For example, an entity may need to perform various
administrative tasks to set up a contract. The performance of those tasks does not transfer a service to the policyholder as the tasks are performed. Hence, those promised set-up activities are not a performance obligation.

B34 Subject to paragraph B35, a performance obligation to provide a good or service is distinct if either of the following criteria is met:

(a) the entity (or another entity that does or does not issue insurance contracts) regularly sells the good or service separately in the same market or same jurisdiction. The entity shall take into account all information that is reasonably available in making this determination. The entity need not undertake an exhaustive search to identify whether a good or service is sold separately.

(b) the policyholder can benefit from the good or service either At its own or together with other resources that are readily available to the policyholder. Readily available resources are goods or services that are sold separately (by the entity or by another entity that might not issue insurance contracts), or resources that the policyholder has already obtained (from the entity or from other transactions or events).

B35 A performance obligation to provide a good or service is not distinct if the cash flows and risks associated with the good or service are highly interrelated with the cash flows and risks associated with the insurance components in the contract, and the entity provides a significant service of integrating the good or service with the insurance components.
Level of measurement (paragraph 22)

B36 The expected (probability-weighted) cash flows from a portfolio of insurance contracts equals the sum of the expected cash flows of the individual contracts. Consequently, the level of aggregation for measurement should not affect the expected present values of future cash flows.

B37 However, from a practical point of view, it may be easier to make estimates in aggregate for a portfolio rather than for individual insurance contracts. For example, incurred but not reported (IBNR) estimates are typically made for a portfolio as a whole. If expenses are incurred at the portfolio level but not at an individual insurance contract level, it may be easier, and perhaps even necessary, to estimate them at an aggregate level. Accordingly, this [draft] Standard requires that entities measure an insurance contract using:

(a) expected cash flows assessed at the level of a portfolio of insurance contracts (see paragraph 22);
(b) a risk adjustment measured by incorporating diversification benefits to the extent that the entity considers those benefits in setting the amount of compensation it requires to bear risk (see paragraphs B76–B77);
(c) the contractual service margin at initial recognition at the level of a portfolio of insurance contracts, consistent with the cash flows (see paragraph 28); and
(d) the amount of contractual service margin recognised in profit or loss at a level of aggregation such that once the coverage period of the insurance contract has ended, the related contractual service margin has been fully recognised in profit or loss (see paragraph 32).

B38 However, the expected value of estimates made at the portfolio level reflects the expected value of the equivalent estimates of those amounts attributed to the individual contracts. In principle, this should be no different from making expected value estimates for individual insurance contracts and then aggregating the results for the portfolio of those contracts.

At its meeting on 17 June 2014 the Board tentatively decided to amend the definition of a portfolio of insurance contracts to be “insurance contracts that provide coverage for similar risks and are managed together as a single pool”.

At its meeting on 20 January 2016 the Board tentatively decided to require a loss for onerous contracts to be recognised only when the contractual service margin is negative for a group of contracts, and that the group should comprise contracts that at inception:

a. have cash flows that the entity expects will respond in similar ways to key drivers of risk in terms of amount and timing; and
b. had similar expected profitability (ie similar contractual service margin as a percentage of the premium).

The Board also tentatively decided: The objective for the allocation of the contractual service margin is to recognise the contractual service margin for an individual contract, or groups of homogeneous contracts, in profit and loss over the coverage period of the contract in a way that best reflects the service to be provided by the contract. Hence, if there is no more service to be provided by a contract after the end of the reporting period, the contractual service margin for that contract should have been fully recognised in profit or loss.

a. An entity can group contracts for allocating the contractual service margin provided that the allocation of the contractual service margin for the group meets the objective in (a).

b. An entity that groups contracts is deemed to meet the objective in (a) provided that:

   i. the contracts in the group:
      ▪ have cash flows that the entity expects will respond in similar ways to key drivers of risk in terms of amount and timing; and
      ▪ on inception had similar expected profitability (ie similar contractual service margin as a percentage of the premium); and

   ii. the entity adjusts the allocation of the contractual service margin for the group in the period to reflect the expected duration and size of the
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contracts remaining after the end of the period.

The Board also instructed the staff to develop the wording during the drafting process to improve the clarity of these requirements.

At the same meeting the Board also tentatively decided that there should be no exception to the level of aggregation for determining onerous contracts or the allocation of the contractual service margin when regulation affects the pricing of contracts. Accordingly, contracts with dissimilar profitability, even if as a consequence of regulation, may not be grouped for determining onerous contracts and for the allocation of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. the objective for the adjustment and allocation of the contractual service margin should be that the contractual service margin at the end of a reporting period represents the profit for the future services to be provided for a group of contracts.

b. an entity should measure the contractual service margin using the group used for deciding when contracts are onerous. Consequently, an entity should measure the contractual service margin by grouping insurance contracts that at inception have:
   i. expected cash flows the entity expects will respond similarly in terms of amount and timing to changes in key assumptions.
   ii. similar expected profitability, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of the total expected revenue. An entity can use as a practical expedient the expected return on premiums, ie the contractual service margin as a percentage of expected premiums.

c. an entity should reflect the expected duration and size of the contracts remaining in the group at the end of the period when allocating the contractual service margin of the group of contracts to the profit or loss statement.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to retain the definition of portfolio in draft IFRS 17 Insurance Contracts, ie that a portfolio is a group of contracts subject to similar risks and managed together as a single pool. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that contracts within each product line, such as annuities or whole-life, would be expected to have similar risks, and hence contracts from different product lines would not be expected in the same portfolio.
b. to require entities to identify onerous contracts at inception and group them separately from contracts not onerous at inception. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that entities could measure contracts together if the entity can determine that those contracts can be grouped with others based on available information at inception.

c. to require entities to measure insurance contracts not onerous at inception by dividing the portfolio into two groups—a group of contracts that have no significant risk of becoming onerous and a group of other profitable contracts. IFRS 17 would provide guidance that:
   i. an entity should assess the risk of the contracts in a group becoming onerous in a manner consistent with the entity’s internal reporting about changes in estimates.
   ii. an entity should assess the risk of contracts in the group becoming onerous based on the sensitivity of the fulfilment cash flows to changes in estimates which, if they occurred, would result in the contracts becoming onerous.
   iii. an entity is permitted to divide a portfolio into more than two groups. For example, an entity may choose to divide a portfolio into more groups if the entity’s internal reporting provides information that distinguishes the different risks of contracts becoming onerous.

d. prohibit entities from grouping contracts issued more than one year apart.

e. to require entities to allocate the contractual service margin for a group of contracts on the basis of the passage of time. Thus the contractual service margin should be allocated over the current period and expected remaining coverage period and that allocation should be on the basis of coverage units, reflecting the expected duration and size of the contracts in the group.

These decisions revise the Board’s previous decisions on the level of aggregation for the measurement of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
Estimates of future cash flows (paragraphs 22-24)

B39 This section addresses:

(a) uncertainty and the expected present value approach (see paragraphs B40–B42);
(b) market variables and non-market variables (see paragraphs B43–B53);
(c) estimating probabilities of future payments (see paragraph B54);
(d) using current estimates (see paragraphs B55–B58);
(e) future events (see paragraphs B59–B61); and
(f) cash flows within the contract boundary (see paragraphs B62–B67).

Uncertainty and the expected present value approach (paragraph 22)

B40 The objective of estimating cash flows to measure the fulfilment cash flows is to determine the expected value, or statistical mean, of the full range of possible outcomes. Thus, the starting point for an estimate of the cash flows is a range of scenarios that reflects the full range of possible outcomes. Each scenario specifies the amount and timing of the cash flows for a particular outcome, and the estimated probability of that outcome. The cash flows from each scenario are discounted and weighted by the estimated probability of that outcome in order to derive an expected present value that is consistent with market variables. Thus, the objective is not to develop a most likely outcome, or a more-likely-than-not outcome, for future cash flows. Instead, the objective is to identify and reflect all of the possible scenarios in order to make unbiased estimates of the probability of each scenario. In some cases, an entity has access to considerable data and may be able to develop those cash flow scenarios easily. In other cases, an entity may not be able to develop more than general statements about the variability of cash flows or their related probabilities without incurring considerable costs. When this is the case the entity shall use those general statements for estimating the future cash flows.
When considering the full range of possible outcomes, the objective is not necessarily to identify every possible scenario but instead to incorporate all of the relevant information and not ignore any that is difficult to obtain. In practice, it is not necessary to develop explicit scenarios if the resulting estimate is consistent with the measurement objective of considering all of the relevant information when determining the mean. For example, if an entity estimates that the probability distribution of outcomes is broadly consistent with a probability distribution that can be described completely with a small number of parameters, it will suffice to estimate that smaller number of parameters. Similarly, in some cases, relatively simple modelling may give an answer within an acceptable range of precision, without the need for a large number of detailed simulations. However, in some cases, the cash flows may be driven by complex underlying factors and may respond in a highly non-linear fashion to changes in economic conditions. This may happen if, for example, the cash flows reflect a series of interrelated options that are implicit or explicit. In such cases, more sophisticated stochastic modelling is likely to be needed to satisfy the measurement objective.

The scenarios developed shall include unbiased estimates of the probability of catastrophic losses under existing contracts. Those scenarios exclude possible claims under possible future contracts. For example, suppose that there is a 5 per cent probability that an earthquake will occur during the remaining coverage period of an existing contract causing losses with a present value of CU1,000,000. In that case, the expected present value of the cash outflows includes CU50,000 (ie CU1,000,000 × 5 per cent) for those losses. The expected value of the cash outflows for that contract does not include the possible losses from an earthquake that could happen after the end of the coverage period.

**Market variables and non-market variables (paragraph 22(b))**

This application guidance identifies two types of variables:

(a) market variables—variables that can be observed in, or derived directly from, markets (for example, prices of publicly traded securities and interest rates); and

(b) non-market variables—all other variables (for example, the frequency and severity of insurance claims and mortality).
Market variables (paragraph 22(b))

B44 Estimates of market variables shall be consistent with observable market prices at the end of the reporting period. An entity shall not substitute its own estimates for observed market prices except as described in paragraph 79 of IFRS 13. In accordance with IFRS 13, if market variables need to be estimated (for example, because no observable market variables exist), they shall be as consistent as possible with observable market variables.

B45 Market prices blend a range of views about possible future outcomes and also reflect the risk preferences of market participants. Consequently, they are not a single-point forecast of the future outcome. If the actual outcome differs from the previous market price, this does not mean that the market price was ‘wrong’.

B46 An important application of market variables is the notion of a replicating asset or a replicating portfolio of assets. A replicating asset is one whose cash flows exactly match the contractual cash flows in amount, timing and uncertainty. In some cases, a replicating asset may exist for some of the cash flows that arise from an insurance contract. The fair value of that asset both reflects the expected present value of the cash flows from the asset and the risk associated with those cash flows. If a replicating portfolio of assets exists for some or all of the cash flows that arise from an insurance contract liability, the entity can, for those contractual cash flows, use the fair value of those assets for the relevant fulfilment cash flows instead of explicitly estimating the expected present value of those particular cash flows and the associated risk adjustment. If a replicating portfolio of assets exists for some or all of the cash flows that arise from an insurance contract liability, the entity can, for those contractual cash flows, use the fair value of those assets for the relevant fulfilment cash flows instead of explicitly estimating the expected present value of those particular cash flows and the associated risk adjustment. For cash flows that are not measured by a replicating portfolio of assets, an entity shall explicitly estimate the expected present value of those particular cash flows and the associated risk adjustment.

B47 This [draft] Standard does not require an entity to use a replicating portfolio technique. However, if a replicating asset or portfolio does exist and an entity chooses to use a different technique, the entity shall satisfy itself that a replicating portfolio technique would be unlikely to lead it to a materially different answer. One way to assess whether this is the case is to verify that applying the other technique to the cash flows that are generated by the replicating portfolio produces a measurement that is not materially different from the fair value of the replicating portfolio.

B48 As an example of a replicating portfolio technique, suppose an insurance contract contains a feature that generates cash flows that are equal to the cash flows from a put option on a basket of traded assets. The replicating portfolio for those cash flows would be a put option on the same terms on that basket.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
of traded assets. The entity would observe or estimate the fair value of that option and include that amount in the measurement of the insurance contract. However, the entity could use a technique other than a replicating portfolio if that technique is expected to achieve the same measurement of the contract as a whole. For example, other techniques may be more robust or easier to implement if there are significant interdependencies between the embedded option and other features of the contract. Judgement is required to determine the approach that best meets the objective in particular circumstances.

**Non-market variables (paragraph 22(b))**

B49 Estimates of non-market variables shall reflect all of the available evidence, both external and internal.

B50 Non-market external data (for example, national mortality statistics) may have more or less relevance than internal data (for example, internally developed mortality statistics), depending on the circumstances. For example, an entity that issues life insurance contracts shall not rely solely on national mortality statistics, but shall consider all other available internal and external sources of information when developing unbiased estimates of probabilities for mortality scenarios for its insurance contract portfolios. In developing those probabilities, an entity shall consider all of the evidence that is available, giving more weight to the more persuasive evidence. For example:

(a) internal mortality statistics may be more persuasive than national mortality data if national data is derived from a large population that is not representative of the insured population. This is because, for example, the demographic characteristics of the insured population could significantly differ from those of the national population, meaning that an entity would need to place more weight on the internal data and less weight on the national statistics.

(b) conversely, if the internal statistics are derived from a small population with characteristics that are believed to be close to those of the national population, and the national statistics are current, an entity would place more weight on the national statistics.

B51 Estimated probabilities for non-market variables shall not contradict observable market variables. For example, estimated probabilities for future inflation rate scenarios shall be as consistent as possible with probabilities implied by market interest rates.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
In some cases, an entity may conclude that market variables vary independently of non-market variables. If so, the entity shall consider scenarios that reflect the range of outcomes for the non-market variables, with each scenario using the same observed value of the market variable.

In other cases, market variables and non-market variables may be correlated. For example, there may be evidence that lapse rates are correlated with interest rates. Similarly, there may be evidence that claim levels for house or car insurance are correlated with economic cycles and therefore with interest rates and expense amounts. The entity shall ensure that the probabilities for the scenarios and the risk adjustments that relate to the market variables are consistent with the observed market prices that depend on those market variables.

Estimating probabilities of future payments (paragraph 22(c))

An entity estimates the probabilities associated with future payments under existing contracts on the basis of:

(a) information about claims already reported by policyholders.

(b) other information about the known or estimated characteristics of the portfolio of insurance contracts.

(c) historical data about the entity’s own experience, supplemented when necessary with historical data from other sources. Historical data is adjusted if, for example:

(i) the characteristics of the portfolio differ (or will differ, for example because of adverse selection) from those of the population that has been used as a basis for the historical data;

(ii) there is evidence that historical trends will not continue, that new trends will emerge or that economic, demographic and other changes may affect the cash flows that arise from the existing insurance contracts; or

(iii) there have been changes in items such as underwriting procedures and claims management procedures that may affect the relevance of historical data to the portfolio of insurance contracts.

(d) current price information, if available, for reinsurance contracts
and other financial instruments (if any) covering similar risks, such as catastrophe bonds and weather derivatives, and recent market prices for transfers of portfolios of insurance contracts. This information shall be adjusted to reflect the differences between the cash flows that arise from those reinsurance contracts or other financial instruments, and the cash flows that would arise as the entity fulfils the underlying contracts with the policyholder.

Using current estimates (paragraph 22(d))

B55 In estimating the probability of each cash flow scenario, an entity shall use all of the available current information at the end of the reporting period. An entity shall review the estimates of the probabilities that it made at the end of the previous reporting period and update them for any changes. In doing so, an entity shall consider whether:

(a) the updated estimates faithfully represent the conditions at the end of the reporting period; and

(b) the changes in estimates faithfully represent the changes in conditions during the period. For example, suppose that estimates were at one end of a reasonable range at the beginning of the period. If the conditions have not changed, changing the estimates to the other end of the range at the end of the period would not faithfully represent what has happened during the whole period. If an entity’s most recent estimates are different from its previous estimates, but conditions have not changed, it shall assess whether the new probabilities that are assigned to each scenario are justified. In updating its estimates of those probabilities, the entity shall consider both the evidence that supported its previous estimates and all of the new available evidence, giving more weight to the more persuasive evidence.

B56 The probability assigned to each scenario shall reflect the conditions at the end of the reporting period. Consequently, in accordance with IAS 10 Events after the Reporting Period, an event that occurs after the end of the reporting period and resolves a condition that existed at the reporting date does not provide evidence of a condition that existed at the end of the reporting period. For example, there may be a 20 per cent probability at the end of the reporting period that a major storm will strike during the remaining six months of an insurance contract. After the end of the reporting period and before the financial statements are authorised for issue, a storm strikes. The
fulfilment cash flows under that contract shall not reflect the storm that, with hindsight, is known to have occurred. Instead, the cash flows that were included in the measurement are multiplied by the 20 per cent probability that was apparent at the end of the reporting period (with appropriate disclosure, in accordance with IAS 10, that a non-adjusting event occurred after the end of the reporting period).

B57 Current estimates of expected cash flows are not necessarily identical to the most recent actual experience. For example, suppose that mortality experience last year was 20 per cent worse than the previous mortality experience and previous expectations of mortality experience. Several factors could have caused the sudden change in experience, including:

(a) lasting changes in mortality;
(b) changes in the characteristics of the insured population (for example, changes in underwriting or distribution, or selective lapses by policyholders in unusually good or bad health);
(c) random fluctuations; or
(d) identifiable non-recurring causes.

B58 An entity shall investigate the reasons for the change in experience and develop new probability estimates for the possible outcomes in the light of the most recent experience, the earlier experience and other information. Typically, the result for the example in paragraph B57 would be that the expected present value of death benefits changes, but not by as much as 20 per cent. Actuaries have developed ‘credibility’ techniques that an entity could use when assessing how new evidence affects the probability of different outcomes. In the example in paragraph B57, if the mortality continues to be significantly higher than the previous estimates, the estimated probability assigned to the high mortality scenarios will increase as new evidence becomes available.
**Future events (paragraph 22(d))**

B59 Estimates of non-market variables shall consider not just current information about the current level of insured events but also information about trends. For example, mortality rates have consistently declined over long periods in many countries. The determination of the fulfilment cash flows reflects the probabilities that would be assigned to each possible trend scenario in the light of all of the available evidence.

B60 Similarly, if cash flows from the insurance contract are sensitive to inflation, the determination of the fulfilment cash flows shall reflect possible future inflation rates (see also paragraphs 26 and B53). Because inflation rates are likely to be correlated with interest rates, the measurement of fulfilment cash flows reflects the probabilities for each inflation scenario in a way that is consistent with the probabilities that are implied by market interest rates (those that are used in estimating the discount rate, as specified in paragraphs 25–26).

B61 When estimating the cash flows from an insurance contract, an entity shall take into account future events that might affect those cash flows. The entity shall develop cash flow scenarios that reflect those future events, as well as unbiased estimates of the probability weights for each scenario. However, an entity shall not take into account future events, such as a change in legislation, that would change or discharge the present obligation or create new obligations under the existing insurance contract.

**Cash flows within the contract boundary (paragraphs 22(e) and 23–24)**

B62 Estimates of cash flows in a scenario shall include, on an expected value basis, all cash flows within the boundary of an existing contract, and no other cash flows.

B63 Many insurance contracts have features that enable policyholders to take actions that change the amount, timing, nature or uncertainty of the amounts that they will receive. Such features include renewal options, surrender options, conversion options and options to cease paying premiums while still receiving benefits under the contracts. The measurement of an insurance contract shall reflect, on an expected value basis, the entity’s view of how the policyholders in the portfolio that contains the contract will exercise options available to them, and the risk adjustment shall reflect the entity’s view of how the actual behaviour of the policyholders in the portfolio of contracts may differ from the expected behaviour. Thus, the measurement of an
insurance contract shall not assume that all policyholders in the portfolio of contracts:

(a) surrender their contracts if that is not the expected behaviour of the policyholders; or
(b) continue their contracts if that is not the expected behaviour of the policyholders.

B64 When an issuer is required by the insurance contract to renew or otherwise continue the contract, it shall apply paragraphs 23–24 to assess whether premiums and related cash flows that arise from the renewed contract are within the boundary of the original contract.

B65 Paragraph 23 refers to an entity’s right or practical ability to set a price at a future date (a renewal date) that fully reflects the risks in the contract or portfolio from that date. An entity has that right or practical ability when there are no constraints to prevent it from setting the same price as it would for a new contract that is issued on that date, or if it can amend the benefits to be consistent with those that it would provide for the price that it will charge. Similarly, an entity has that right or practical ability when it can reprice an existing contract so that the price reflects overall changes in the risks in the portfolio, even if the price set for each individual policyholder does not reflect the change in risk for that specific policyholder. When assessing whether the entity has the right or practical ability to set a price that fully reflects the risks in the contract or portfolio, it should consider all the risks that it would consider when underwriting equivalent contracts on the renewal date for the remaining coverage.

B66 Cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract are those that relate directly to the fulfilment of the portfolio of contracts and include:

(a) premiums (including premium adjustments and instalment premiums) from policyholders and any additional cash flows that result from those premiums.

(b) payments to (or on behalf of) policyholders, including claims that have already been reported but have not yet been paid (ie reported claims), incurred claims for events that have occurred but for which claims have not been reported (IBNR) and all future claims within the boundary of the existing contract.

(c) directly attributable acquisition costs that can be allocated on a rational and consistent basis to the individual portfolios of insurance contracts. Acquisition costs include costs that cannot be attributed directly to individual insurance contracts in the portfolio.
(d) claim handling costs (i.e., the costs that the entity will incur in processing and resolving claims under existing insurance contracts, including legal and loss-adjusters’ fees and internal costs of investigating claims and processing claim payments).

(e) the costs that the entity will incur in providing contractual benefits that are paid in kind.

(f) cash flows that will result from options and guarantees embedded in the contract, to the extent that those options and guarantees are not separated from the insurance contract (see paragraph 10(a)). When insurance contracts contain embedded options or guarantees, it is important to consider the full range of scenarios.

(g) policy administration and maintenance costs, such as costs of premium billing and handling policy changes (for example, conversions and reinstatements). Such costs also include recurring commissions that are expected to be paid to intermediaries if a particular policyholder continues to pay the premiums within the boundary of the insurance contract.

(h) transaction-based taxes (such as premium taxes, value added taxes and goods and services taxes) and levies (such as fire service levies and guarantee fund assessments) that arise directly from existing insurance contracts, or that can be attributed to them on a reasonable and consistent basis.

(i) payments by the insurer in a fiduciary capacity to meet tax obligations incurred by the policyholder, and related receipts.

(j) potential recoveries (such as salvage and subrogation) on future claims that are covered by existing insurance contracts and, to the extent that they do not qualify for recognition as separate assets, potential recoveries on past claims.

(k) payments arising from existing contracts that provide policyholders with a share in the returns on underlying items (see paragraph 33), regardless of whether those payments are made to current or future policyholders.

(l) fixed and variable overheads (such as the costs of accounting, human resources, information technology and support, building depreciation, rent and maintenance and utilities) that are directly attributable to fulfilling the portfolio that contains the insurance contract and that are allocated to each portfolio of insurance contracts using methods that:

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
to all costs that have similar characteristics; and

(ii) ensure that the costs included in the cash flows that are used to measure insurance contracts do not exceed the costs incurred.

(m) any other costs that are specifically chargeable to the policyholder under the terms of the contract.

B67 The following cash flows shall not be considered when estimating the cash flows that will arise as the entity fulfils an existing insurance contract:

(a) investment returns on underlying items. The investments are recognised, measured and presented separately. However, the measurement of an insurance contract may be affected by the cash flows, if any, that depend on the investment returns.

(b) cash flows (payments or receipts) that arise under reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance contracts are recognised, measured and presented separately.

(c) cash flows that may arise from future insurance contracts, ie cash flows that are outside the boundary of existing contracts (see paragraphs 23–24).

(d) cash flows relating to costs that cannot be directly attributed to the portfolio of insurance contracts that contain the contract, such as product development and training costs. Such costs are recognised in profit or loss when incurred.

(e) cash flows that arise from abnormal amounts of wasted labour or other resources that are used to fulfil the contract. Such costs are recognised in profit or loss when incurred.

(f) income tax payments and receipts that the insurer does not pay or receive in a fiduciary capacity. Such payments and receipts are recognised, measured and presented separately in accordance with IAS 12 Income Taxes.

(g) cash flows between different components of the reporting entity, such as policyholder funds and shareholder funds, because those cash flows do not change the amount that will be paid to the policyholders.

(h) cash flows that arise from components that are separated from the insurance contract and accounted for using other applicable Standards (see paragraph 10).
EFFECT OF BOARD DELIBERATIONS

Changes in current estimates of cash flows
(paragraphs 30–31)

Paragraph 30 requires an adjustment to the remaining amount of the contractual service margin for a difference between the current and previous estimates of the cash flows that relate to future coverage and other future services. Accordingly:

(a) the contractual service margin is not adjusted for changes in estimates of incurred claims, because these claims relate to past coverage. Such changes are recognised immediately in profit or loss.

(b) the contractual service margin is adjusted for experience differences that relate to future coverage; for example, if they relate to premiums for future coverage. The entity adjusts the margin for both the change in premiums and any resulting changes in future outflows.

(c) the contractual service margin is not adjusted for a delay or acceleration of repayments of investment components if the change in timing did not affect the cash flows relating to future services. For example, if an entity estimates that there will be a lower repayment in one period because of a corresponding higher repayment in a future period, the change in timing does not affect the cash flows relating to future periods. The contractual service margin is adjusted only for any net effect on the contractual service margin of the delay or acceleration.

(d) the contractual service margin is not adjusted for changes in estimates of cash flows that depend on investment returns if those changes arise as a result of changes in the value of the underlying items. Such changes do not relate to services provided under the contract.

(e) the contractual service margin is adjusted for changes in estimates of cash flows that are expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items only if those cash flows relate to future services under the insurance contract. For example, changes in cash flows relating to asset management services that are provided under a contract relate to future services under the insurance contract. Gains or losses on the underlying items do not relate to unearned

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to confirm the proposals in the 2013 ED that after inception:
   i. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows related to future coverage and other future services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative; and
   ii. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows that do not relate to future coverage and other future services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

b. that favourable changes in estimates that arise after losses were previously recognised in profit or loss should be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that they reverse losses that relate to coverage and other services in the future.

c. that differences between the current and previous estimates of the risk adjustment that relate to future coverage and other services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative. Consequently, changes in the risk adjustment that relate to the coverage and other services provided in the current and past periods should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 22 July 2014 the Board tentatively decided that, for contracts without participating features, an entity should use the locked-in rate at the inception of the contract for accreting interest on the contractual service margin and for calculating the change in present value of expected cash flows that offsets that margin.

At its meeting on 25 June 2015 the Board tentatively decided that, for insurance contracts with direct participation features, it would modify its general measurement model for accounting for insurance contracts so that changes in the estimate of the fee that the entity expects to earn from the contract are adjusted in the contractual service margin. The fee that the entity expects to earn from the contract is equal to the entity's expected share of the returns on underlying items, less any expected cash flows that do not vary directly with the underlying items.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that, for all insurance contracts, an entity should present changes in estimates of the amount of cash flows that
result from changes in market variables in the same location in the statement of comprehensive income consistently with the changes in discount rates.

At its meeting on 18 November 2015 the Board tentatively decided not to require or permit in the general model the remeasurement of the contractual service margin using current discount rates.

At its meeting on 19 January 2016 the Board tentatively decided to require an entity to specify at the inception of the contract how it viewed its discretion under the contract, and to use that specification to distinguish between the effect of changes in market variables and changes in discretion. If the entity is unable to specify in advance how it will determine the amounts due to policyholders, then the default benchmark would be a current market return.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the proposals in the 2013 ED that after inception:
  i. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows related to future coverage and other future services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative; and
  ii. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows that do not relate to future coverage and other future services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided to revise the guidance in the forthcoming insurance contracts Standard on changes in the fulfilment cash flows that relate to:
  a. future service, and hence adjust the contractual service margin; and
  b. current and past service, and hence do not adjust the contractual service margin.

The revised draft text is available in the Appendix of Agenda Paper 2B.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that for contracts measured under the general model:
  e. when an experience adjustment directly causes a change in the estimate of the present value of future cash flows, the combined effect of the experience adjustment and the change in the estimate of the present value of the future cash flows should be recognised in profit or loss rather than adjusting the contractual service margin.
  f. guidance should be added to IFRS 17 explaining that an experience adjustment
directly causes a change in the estimate of the present value of future cash flows only when it causes a change in the future rights and obligations for the group of contracts (ie the number of coverage units), and not just the measurement of those rights and obligations. A change in the measurement only of existing rights and obligations is not directly caused by an experience adjustment.

At its meeting on 16 November the Board tentatively decided that for contracts accounted for using the variable fee approach, the following should be recognised in profit or loss, rather than adjusting the contractual service margin:

c. experience adjustments arising from non-financial risk that do not affect the underlying items; and

d. any directly caused changes in the estimates of the present value of future cash flows.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
Time value of money (paragraphs 25–26)

B69 Discount rates that reflect the characteristics of the cash flows of an insurance contract may not be directly observable in the market. An entity shall maximise the use of current observable market prices of instruments with similar cash flows, but shall adjust those prices to reflect the differences between those cash flows and the cash flows of the insurance contract in terms of timing, currency and liquidity. This [draft] Standard does not prescribe the method for making those adjustments.

B70 In making the adjustments described in paragraph B69, an entity shall include in the discount rates for the insurance contract only those factors that are relevant for the insurance contract, as follows

(a) in some cases, the entity determines the yield curve for the insurance contract based on a yield curve that reflects the current market rates of returns either for the actual portfolio of assets that the entity holds or for a reference portfolio of assets as a starting point. The rates of return for the portfolio include market risk premiums for credit risk and liquidity risk. In a 'top-down' approach, an entity:

(i) excludes, from the observable rates of return that apply to a portfolio of assets, its estimates of the factors that are not relevant to the insurance contract. Such factors include market risk premiums for assets included in the portfolio that are being used as a starting point.

(ii) adjusts for differences between the timing of the cash flows of the assets in the portfolio and the timing of the cash flows of the insurance contract. This ensures that the duration of the assets is matched to the duration of the liability.

(iii) does not include, in accordance with paragraph 21, the risk of the entity’s own non-performance.

While there may be remaining differences between the liquidity characteristics of the insurance contract and the liquidity characteristics of the assets in the portfolio, an entity applying the top-down approach need not make adjustments to eliminate those differences.

(b) in other cases, the entity adjusts a risk-free yield curve to include its estimates of the factors that are relevant to the insurance contract (a

At its meeting on 17 June 2014 the Board tentatively decide:

a. to confirm the principle that the discount rates used to adjust the cash flows in an insurance contract for the time value of money should be consistent with observable current market prices for instruments with cash flows whose characteristics are consistent with those of the insurance contract;

b. to provide additional application guidance that, in determining those discount rates, an entity should use judgment to:

i. ensure that appropriate adjustments are made to observable inputs to accommodate any differences between observed transactions and the insurance contracts being measured; and

ii. develop any unobservable inputs using the best information available in the circumstances, while remaining consistent with the objective of reflecting how market participants assess those inputs. Accordingly any unobservable inputs should not contradict any available and relevant market data.
‘bottom-up’ approach). Factors that are relevant to the insurance contract include differences between the liquidity characteristics of the financial instruments that underlie the rates observed in the market and the liquidity characteristics of the insurance contract. For example, some government bonds are traded in deep and liquid markets and the holder can typically sell them readily at any time without incurring significant transaction costs such as bid-ask spreads. In contrast, insurance contract liabilities cannot generally be traded, and it may not be possible to cancel the contract before it matures.

B71 When observable market variables are not available, or do not separately identify the relevant factors, an entity uses estimation techniques to determine the appropriate discount rate, taking into account other observable inputs when available. For example, the entity may need to determine the discount rates applied to cash flows that are expected beyond the period for which observable market data is available using the current, observable market yield curve for shorter durations. Another example would be the estimate of the credit risk premium that is included in the spread of a debt instrument using a credit derivative as a reference point. An entity assesses the extent to which the market prices for credit derivatives includes factors that are not relevant to determining the credit risk component of the market rate of return so that the credit risk component of the overall asset spread can be determined.

B72 In principle, the discount rates that are not expected to vary with returns on underlying items will result in the same yield curve for all cash flows because the different liquidity characteristics of the contracts will be eliminated to result in an illiquid risk free yield curve that eliminates all uncertainty about the amount and timing of cash flows. However, applying paragraph B70(a) may result in different yield curves in practice, even in the same currency.

B73 To the extent that the amount, timing or uncertainty of the cash flows that arise from an insurance contract depends on the returns on underlying items, paragraph 26(a) requires the characteristics of the liability to reflect that dependence. The discount rates used to measure those cash flows shall therefore reflect the extent of that dependence. This is the case regardless of whether that dependence arises as a result of contractual terms or through the entity exercising discretion, and regardless of whether the entity holds the underlying items.

B74 The [draft] Standard does not specify restrictions on the portfolio of assets used to determine the discount rates in applying paragraph B70(a). However, fewer adjustments would be required to eliminate those factors not relevant to the liability when the reference portfolio of assets has similar characteristics to those of the insurance contract liabilities. Accordingly:
(a) for debt instruments, the objective is to eliminate from the total bond yield the factors that are not relevant for the insurance contract. Those factors include the effects of expected credit losses, the market risk premium for credit and a market premium for liquidity.

(b) for equity investments, more significant adjustments are required to eliminate the factors that are not relevant to the insurance contract. This is because there are greater differences between the cash flow characteristics of equity investments and the cash flow characteristics of insurance contracts. In particular, the objective is to eliminate from the portfolio rate the part of the expected return for bearing investment risk. Those investment risks include the market risk and any other variability in the amount and timing of the cash flows from the assets.

B75 In some circumstances, the most appropriate way to reflect any dependence of the cash flows that arise from an insurance contract on specified assets might be to use a replicating portfolio technique (see paragraphs B46–B48). In other cases, an entity might use discount rates that are consistent with the measurement of those assets, and that have been adjusted for any asymmetry between the entity and the policyholders in the sharing of the risks arising from those assets.
Risk adjustment (paragraph 27)

B76 The risk adjustment measures the compensation that the entity would require to make the entity indifferent between:

(a) fulfilling an insurance contract liability that has a range of possible outcomes; and

(b) fulfilling a liability that will generate fixed cash flows with the same expected present value as the insurance contract.

For example, the risk adjustment would measure the compensation that the entity would require to make it indifferent between fulfilling a liability that has a 50 per cent probability of being CU90 and a 50 per cent probability of being CU110 and fulfilling a liability that is fixed at CU100. As a result, the risk adjustment conveys information to users of financial statements about the entity’s perception of the effects of uncertainty about the amount and timing of cash flows that arise from an insurance contract.

B77 Because the measurement of the risk adjustment reflects the compensation that the entity would require for bearing the uncertainty about the amount and timing of the cash flows that arise as the entity fulfils the contract, the risk adjustment also reflects:

(a) the degree of diversification benefit that the entity considers when determining the compensation it requires for bearing that uncertainty; and

(b) both favourable and unfavourable outcomes in a way that reflects the entity’s degree of risk aversion.

B78 The purpose of the risk adjustment is to measure the effect of uncertainty in the cash flows that arise from the insurance contract. Consequently, the risk adjustment shall reflect all risks associated with the insurance contract, other than those reflected through the use of market consistent inputs (see paragraph B44). It shall not reflect the risks that do not arise from the insurance contract, such as investment risk relating to the assets that an entity holds (except when that investment risk affects the amounts payable to policyholders), asset-liability mismatch risk or general operational risk that relates to future transactions.

B79 The risk adjustment shall be included in the measurement in an explicit way. Thus, in principle, the risk adjustment is separate from the estimates of future cash flows and the discount rates that adjust those cash flows for the time value of money. The entity shall not double-count the risk adjustments by, for example, including the risk adjustment implicitly when determining the
estimates of future cash flows or the discount rates. The estimates of future cash flows and the discount rates that are disclosed to comply with paragraphs 73–85 shall not include any implicit adjustments for risk.

B80  The requirement that a risk adjustment must be included in the measurement in an explicit way (ie separately from the expected cash flows and the discount rate building blocks) does not preclude a ‘replicating portfolio’ approach as described in paragraphs B46–B48. To avoid double-counting, the risk adjustment does not include any risk that is captured in the fair value of the replicating portfolio.

B81  The [draft] Standard does not specify the technique that is used to determine the risk adjustment. However, to meet the objective in paragraph B76, the risk adjustment shall have the following characteristics:

(a) risks with low frequency and high severity will result in higher risk adjustments than risks with high frequency and low severity;
(b) for similar risks, contracts with a longer duration will result in higher risk adjustments than contracts with a shorter duration;
(c) risks with a wide probability distribution will result in higher risk adjustments than risks with a narrower distribution;
(d) the less that is known about the current estimate and its trend, the higher the risk adjustment; and
(e) to the extent that emerging experience reduces uncertainty, risk adjustments will decrease and vice versa.

B82  An entity shall apply judgement when determining an appropriate risk adjustment technique to use. When applying that judgement, an entity shall also consider whether the technique provides concise and informative disclosure so that users of financial statements can benchmark the entity’s performance against the performance of other entities. Paragraph 84 requires an entity to translate the result of that technique into a confidence level if it uses a different technique to determine the risk adjustment.
Contracts that require the entity to hold underlying items and specify a link to returns on those underlying items (paragraphs 33–34 and 66)

Paragraph 34 specifies requirements that eliminate accounting mismatches between the cash flows from an insurance contract and underlying items when the terms of the contract mean that the entity will not suffer any economic mismatches. That is the case when the criteria in paragraph 33 are met, i.e., when the contract specifies a link to those underlying items.

The criteria in paragraph 33 would not be met if either of the following apply:

(a) the payments arising from the contract reflect the returns on identifiable assets or liabilities only because the entity chooses to make payments on that basis. In that case, the entity may choose to avoid economic mismatches by making payments that are expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items, but it is not required to do so. However, the entity is not required to avoid the economic mismatches that would arise if it held other assets or liabilities.

(b) the entity could choose to hold the underlying items and so could avoid the economic mismatches, but is not required to hold those underlying items.

For contracts meeting the criteria in paragraph 33, an entity determines the fulfilment cash flows that are expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items and measures those fulfilment cash flows on a different basis from the other fulfilment cash flows. An entity shall decompose the cash flows in a way that maximises the extent to which the measurement both:

(a) expresses the cash flows in a way that illustrates the extent to which they are expected to vary with returns on underlying items; and

(b) maximises the minimum fixed payment that the policyholder will receive.

For example, if a contract promises to pay a policyholder a minimum of CU1,000 plus 90 per cent of the increase in the fair value of underlying items (‘A’) above an initial fair value of CU1,000, the cash flows could be

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that the mirroring approach proposed in paragraphs 33–34 of the 2013 ED should not be permitted or required.
decomposed in the following ways:

(a) as a fixed amount plus a written call option, ie

\[ \text{CU1,000} + [90\% \times \text{the greater of } (A - \text{CU1,000}) \text{ and } \text{CU0}] \]  

(b) as 100 per cent of the assets plus the value of the guarantee (a written put option) less the value of the entity’s 10 per cent participation in the upside (a call option held), ie

\[ A + [\text{the greater of } (\text{CU1,000} - A) \text{ and } \text{CU0}] - [10\% \times \text{the greater of } (A - \text{CU1,000}) \text{ and } \text{CU0}] \]  

(c) as 90 per cent of the assets plus a fixed payment of CU100 plus 90 per cent of the increase in the assets above CU1,000, ie

\[ [90\% \times A] + \text{CU100} + [90\% \times \text{the greater of } (\text{CU1,000} - A) \text{ and } \text{CU0}] \]  

However, only (c) would meet the conditions in paragraph B85 because it expresses the cash flows in a way that maximises the extent to which they are expected to vary with returns on underlying items, and the minimum fixed payment the policyholder will receive.

The general requirements in paragraphs 60–65 for presentation in profit or loss or other comprehensive income would not apply to those cash flows that are expected to vary directly with returns on underlying items. However, the entity would apply the requirements in paragraphs 60–65 to the cash flows in contracts that are not expected to vary with returns on underlying items.
Presentation of insurance contract revenue and expenses (paragraphs 56–59)

B88 Paragraph 56 states that insurance contract revenue depicts the transfer of promised services arising from the insurance contract in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those services. The liability for the remaining coverage at the end of the reporting period represents the remaining obligation to provide services in the future. Consequently, the change in the liability for the remaining coverage during the reporting period represents the coverage or other services that the entity provided in that period, assuming no other changes occur. As a result, the entity measures the amount of insurance contract revenue that is presented in each reporting period at the difference between the opening and closing carrying amounts of the liability for remaining for the remaining coverage, excluding changes that do not relate to coverage or other services for which the entity expects to receive consideration. Those changes would include, for example, changes resulting from any cash flows in the period and any amounts that are recognised immediately in profit or loss in accordance with paragraphs 60(a) and 60(d).

B89 The premium paid by the policyholder includes, in addition to the amount relating to providing coverage and other services:

(a) amounts the entity charged to recover directly attributable acquisition costs. For the purpose of measuring insurance contract revenue, an entity shall allocate the directly attributable acquisition costs over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the transfer of services provided under the contract. However, paragraph 39(a) permits an entity to recognise those costs as an expense when incurred in some circumstances.

(b) amounts that relate to investment components. In accordance with paragraph 58, an entity shall exclude from insurance contract revenue any investment components that have not been separated in accordance with paragraph 10(b).

B90 Accordingly, insurance contract revenue can also be expressed as the sum of:

(a) the latest estimates of the expected claims and expenses relating to coverage for the current period excluding those recognised immediately in profit or loss in accordance with paragraphs 60(a) and 60(d). That amount relates to the latest estimates of the expected claims and expenses before the claim is incurred and

At its meeting on 25 April 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the 2013 proposals that an entity should present insurance contract revenue and expense in the statement of comprehensive income, as proposed in paragraphs 56–59 and B88–B91.

In addition, the Board tentatively decided that an entity should be prohibited from presenting premium information in the statement of comprehensive income if that information is not consistent with commonly understood notions of revenue.

At its meeting on 18 March 2014 the Board tentatively decided:

a. to confirm the proposals in the 2013 ED that after inception:
   i. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows related to future coverage and other future services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative; and
excludes any repayments of investment components that are included in the latest estimates of the expected claims. 

(b) the change in the risk adjustment.

(c) the amount of the contractual service margin recognised in profit or loss in the period.

(d) an allocation of the portion of the premium that relates to recovering directly attributable acquisition costs. The entity allocates the part of the premium relating to the recovery of those costs to each accounting period in the systematic way that best reflects the transfer of services provided under that contract.

ii. differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows that do not relate to future coverage and other future services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

b. that favourable changes in estimates that arise after losses were previously recognised in profit or loss should be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that they reverse losses that relate to coverage and other services in the future.

c. that differences between the current and previous estimates of the risk adjustment that relate to future coverage and other services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative. Consequently, changes in the risk adjustment that relate to the coverage and other services provided in the current and past periods should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

At its meeting on 22 June 2016 the Board tentatively decided to revise the guidance in the forthcoming insurance contracts Standard on changes in the fulfilment cash flows that relate to:

a. future service, and hence adjust the contractual service margin; and
b. current and past service, and hence do not adjust the contractual service margin.

The revised draft text is available in the Appendix of Agenda Paper 2B.

At its meeting on 22 July 2014 the Board tentatively decided that, for contracts without participating features, an entity should use the locked-in rate at the inception of the contract for accreting interest on the contractual service margin and for calculating the change in present value of expected cash flows that offsets that margin.

At its meeting on 23 September 2014 the Board tentatively decided to clarify that when an entity applies the premium-allocation approach to account for an insurance contract, it should recognise insurance contract revenue in profit or loss:

a. on the basis of the passage of time; but
b. if the expected pattern of release of risk differs significantly from the passage of time, then on the basis of expected timing of incurred claims and benefits.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
Appendix C
Effective date and transition

This Appendix is an integral part of this [draft] Standard.

Effective date

C1 An entity shall apply this [draft] Standard for annual periods beginning on or after [date approximately three years from the date of publication]. Early application is permitted.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016, the Board tentatively decided that:

a. an entity should apply IFRS 17 for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2021, assuming IFRS 17 is issued in the first half of 2017. This would allow 3½ to 4 years from the issuance of IFRS 17 to the mandatory effective date; and
b. an entity may apply IFRS 17 before 1 January 2021, provided that the entity also applies IFRS 9 and IFRS 15 at the same time.

Transition

C2 The transition requirements in paragraphs C3–C12 apply when an entity first applies this [draft] Standard. The application of this [draft] Standard is a change in accounting policy, to which IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Changes in Accounting Policies applies. Unless otherwise specified, an entity shall recognise the cumulative effect of such changes in the accounting policy as, at the beginning of the earliest period presented, an adjustment to the opening retained earnings and, if applicable, to the opening balance of the accumulated other comprehensive income.

At its meeting on 23 October 2014 the Board tentatively decided to confirm that at the beginning of the earliest period presented, an entity should apply the Standard retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors unless impracticable. At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that:

a. an entity shall apply the requirements of IFRS 17 retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors to groups of insurance contracts, unless doing so is impracticable.
b. for insurance contracts for which the entity cannot identify a group retrospectively, and for groups of insurance contracts for which retrospective
At the beginning of the earliest period presented, an entity shall, with a corresponding adjustment to retained earnings, derecognise:

(a) any existing balances of deferred acquisition costs relating to insurance contracts.

(b) derecognise any intangible assets that arose from insurance contracts that were assumed in previously recognised business combinations and that do not meet the definition of an intangible asset.

(c) recognise, in accordance with IFRS 3 Business Combinations, any assets or liabilities acquired in a business combination that were not previously recognised because they had been subsumed in amounts recognised in accordance with IFRS 4 Insurance Contracts and that are derecognised in accordance with (a) or (b). The entity shall measure such assets or liabilities on the basis that relevant Standards would have required for such assets or liabilities at the date of the business combination.

(d) measure each portfolio of insurance contracts at the sum of:
   (i) the fulfilment cash flows; and
   (ii) a contractual service margin, determined in accordance with paragraphs C4–C6.

(e) recognise, in a separate component of equity, the cumulative effect of the difference between the expected present values of the cash flows at the beginning of the earliest period presented, discounted using:
   (i) current discount rates, as determined in accordance with

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to confirm the proposal in the 2013 ED that, on first application of the new insurance contracts Standard, all entities are required to restate comparative information about insurance contracts. The Board also tentatively decided that, on first application of the new insurance contracts Standard, an entity that has previously applied IFRS 9 and chooses to apply any of the transition reliefs for the classification and measurement of financial assets is permitted (but not required) to restate comparative information about those financial assets only if it is possible without hindsight.

At its meeting on 18 November 2015 the Board tentatively decided that an entity should apply the option to recognise changes in the value of the guarantee embedded in the insurance contract with direct participation features in profit or loss in specified circumstances prospectively from the date of initial application of the Standard.
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paragraph 25; and

(ii) the discount rates that were applied when the portfolios were initially recognised, determined in accordance with paragraph C6.

C4 Except when paragraph C5 applies, an entity shall apply this [draft] Standard retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 to measure an insurance contract in existence at the beginning of the earliest period presented.

C5 IAS 8 specifies when it would be impracticable to apply this [draft] Standard to measure an insurance contract retrospectively. In those situations, an entity shall, at the beginning of the earliest period presented:

(a) measure the insurance contract at the sum of:

(i) the fulfilment cash flows in accordance with this [draft] Standard; and

(ii) an estimate of the remaining contractual service margin, using the information about the entity’s expectations at initial recognition of the contract that were determined in accordance with paragraph C6.

(b) estimate, for the purpose of measuring insurance contract revenue after the beginning of the earliest period presented, in accordance with paragraph C6, the carrying amount of the liability for the remaining coverage, excluding:

(i) any losses on the date of initial recognition; and

(ii) any subsequent changes in the estimates between the date of initial recognition and the beginning of the earliest period presented that were immediately recognised in profit or loss.

(c) determine, for the purpose of measuring the interest expense to be recognised in profit or loss, the discount rates that applied when the contracts in a portfolio were initially recognised in accordance with paragraph C6.

At its meeting on 23 October 2014 the Board tentatively decided that at the beginning of the earliest period presented:

a. if retrospective application of the Standard is impracticable, an entity should use the simplified approach proposed in paragraphs C5 and C6 of the 2013 ED with the following modification: instead of estimating the risk adjustment at the date of initial recognition as the risk adjustment at the beginning of the earliest period presented, an entity should estimate the risk adjustment at the date of initial recognition by adjusting the risk adjustment at the beginning of the earliest period presented by the expected release of the risk before the beginning of the earliest period presented. The expected release of risk should be determined by reference to release of risk for similar insurance contracts that the entity issues at the beginning of the earliest period presented.

b. if the simplified approach is impracticable, an entity should apply a ‘fair value approach’ in which the entity should:

i. determine the contractual service margin at the beginning of the earliest period presented as the difference between the fair value of the insurance contract at that date and the fulfilment cash flows measured at that date; and

ii. determine interest expense in profit or loss, and the related amount of other comprehensive income accumulated in equity, by estimating the discount rate at the date of initial recognition using the method in the simplified approach proposed in paragraph C6(c) and (d) the 2013 ED.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that in applying the fair value approach, an entity should be permitted a choice on when to make to make the following assessments (consistent with the modifications recommended for the modified retrospective approach):
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a. whether a contract is eligible for the variable fee approach;
b. how to group contracts; and
c. how to determine the effect of discretion on estimated cash flows for contracts subject to the general model.

The entity can make the assessment:

a. as at inception of a contract—based on reasonable and supportable evidence of what the entity would have determined given the terms of the contract and the market conditions at that time; or
b. at the beginning of the earliest period presented.

At its meeting on 16 November the Board tentatively decided that in applying the fair value approach (consistent with the modifications recommended for the modified retrospective approach), an entity is:

a. not prohibited from grouping contracts issued more than one year apart; and
b. permitted to use the discount rate at the beginning of the earliest period presented:
   i. to accrete and adjust the resulting contractual service margin for groups of contracts to which the entity applies the general model; and
   ii. to determine the finance income or expenses in profit or loss when the entity makes an accounting policy choice to disaggregate the insurance finance income or expenses between profit or loss and other comprehensive income for non-participating contracts.

At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.

At its meeting on 18 November 2015 the Board tentatively decided that in the simplified retrospective transition approach for a contract with direct participation features:
C6  In applying paragraph C5, an entity need not undertake exhaustive efforts to obtain objective information but shall take into account all objective information that is reasonably available and:

(a) estimate the expected cash flows at the date of initial recognition at the amount of the expected cash flows at the beginning of the earliest period presented, adjusted by the cash flows that are known to have occurred between the date of initial recognition and the beginning of the earliest period presented;

(b) estimate the risk adjustment at the date of initial recognition at the same amount of the risk adjustment that is measured at the beginning of the earliest period presented. The entity shall not adjust that risk adjustment to reflect any changes in risk between the date of initial recognition and the beginning of the earliest period presented;

a. an entity should measure the contractual service margin at the date of initial application of the Standard as follows:
   i. at the date of initial application of the Standard, the entity should estimate the contractual service margin at the inception of the contract as (A) the total fair value of the underlying items less (B) the fulfilment cash flows adjusted to reflect relevant cash flows that have already occurred between the inception of the contract and the date of initial application of the Standard; and
   ii. the entity should estimate the amount of contractual service margin that relates to service provided before the date of initial application of the Standard by comparing the remaining coverage period with the total coverage period of the contract.

b. an entity should restate the contractual service margin in comparative periods by adjusting the contractual service margin at the date of initial application of the Standard assuming that there had been no adjustments to the contractual service margin in the comparative periods except for the allocation of the contractual service margin.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that:

a. the objective of a modified retrospective approach should be to achieve the closest outcome to retrospective application possible using reasonable and supportable information;

b. an entity should be permitted to use the modifications specified in Appendix B of Agenda Paper 2E, but shall use the minimum modifications necessary to meet the objective of the modified retrospective approach; and

c. in applying a modified retrospective approach, an entity shall maximise the use of information that would have been used to apply a fully retrospective approach but need only use information available without undue cost or effort.

At its meeting on 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that the entity should determine the contractual service margin using the permitted modifications for the variable fee approach specified in paragraph B8 of Agenda Paper 2E determined at the beginning of the earliest period presented, rather than at the date of initial application.
estimate the discount rates that applied at the date of initial recognition using an observable yield curve that, for at least three years before the date of transition, approximates the yield curve estimated in accordance with paragraphs 25–26 and B69–B75, if such an observable yield curve exists; and

if the observable yield curve in (c) does not exist, estimate the discount rates that applied at the date of initial recognition by determining an average spread between an observable yield curve and the yield curve estimated in accordance with paragraphs 25–26 and B69–B75, and applying that spread to that observable yield curve. That spread shall be an average over at least three years before the date of transition.

At its meeting on 23 September 2015 the Board tentatively decided that when retrospective application on first application of the new insurance contracts Standard is impracticable, the Board have tentatively decided to simplify the approach for determining the insurance investment expense (and accumulated balance of OCI) for contracts in which changes in market variables affects the amount of cash flows, as follows:

a. when an entity applies the effective yield approach, an entity shall assume that the earliest market variable assumptions that should be considered for the investment expense are those that occur when the entity first applies the new Standard. Accordingly, on the date when the entity first applies the new Standard, the accumulated balance in OCI for the insurance contract is zero.

b. when an entity applies the current period book yield approach, the entity should assume that the insurance investment expense (or income) is equal and opposite in amount to the gain (or loss) presented in profit or loss for the items held by the entity. Accordingly, an entity should assume that the accumulated balance of OCI is determined as follows:

   i. when the items held are measured at fair value through profit or loss (FVPL), there would be no amounts accumulated in OCI; and

   ii. when the items held are measured at cost in profit or loss, the accumulated balance of OCI for the insurance contracts would be the difference between the items held measured at cost and their fair value.

At its meeting on 23 October 2014 the Board tentatively decided that at the beginning of the earliest period presented an entity should disclose the information proposed in paragraph C8 of the 2013 ED (ie the disclosures for contracts for which retrospective application is impracticable) for each period presented for which there are contracts that were measured in accordance with the simplified approach or the fair value approach.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity should disclose the amounts in the financial statements determined at transition using simplified approaches, both on transition and in subsequent periods.

At its meeting at 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to add a requirement that an entity that chooses to disaggregate investment interest expense into an amount presented in profit or loss and an amount presented in OCI, and uses the simplified approach at transition that results in the accumulated balance in OCI for the insurance contract being zero, should disclose a reconciliation from the opening to closing balance of the accumulated balance of OCI for financial assets relating to contracts within the scope of the new insurance contracts Standard that are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI) in accordance with paragraph 4.1.2a of IFRS 9.
(ii) the discount rates as determined in accordance with paragraph C6.

C9 In applying paragraph 90, an entity need not disclose previously unpublished information about claims development that occurred earlier than five years before the end of the first financial year in which it first applies this [draft] Standard. However, if an entity does not disclose that information, it shall disclose that fact.

C10 An entity is not required to disclose, for the current period and for each prior period presented, the amount of the adjustment for each financial statement line item that is affected, as paragraph 28(f) of IAS 8 would otherwise require.

The reconciliation should be provided at the date of transition and in each subsequent reporting period. The entity would designate financial assets (that are classified in the FVOCI measurement category) as relating to contracts within the scope of the new insurance contracts Standard at the date of initial application.

At its meeting at 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that an entity should provide all the disclosures required by IFRS 17 relating to:

a. the contractual service margin;

b. insurance contract revenue; and

c. insurance finance income or expense;

separately for:

a. insurance contracts that existed at the beginning of the earliest period presented; and

b. insurance contracts written after the beginning of the earliest period presented.

At its meeting at 16 November 2016 the Board tentatively decided that an entity should:

a. explain how it determined the measurement of insurance contracts at transition for all periods in which disclosures are provided for insurance contracts that existed at the beginning of the earliest period presented when the entity first applies IFRS 17. The explanation should help users understand the nature and significance of the methods used and judgements applied.

b. disclose a reconciliation from the opening to the closing balance of the cumulative amounts included in other comprehensive income (OCI) for financial assets measured at fair value through OCI if those assets are related through the entity's asset-liability management to those insurance contracts that an entity determines the finance income or expenses in profit or loss using the discount rate at the beginning of the earliest period presented when the entity first applies IFRS 17.

At its meeting at November 2016 the Board agreed with the staff recommendations in Agenda Paper 2G on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for staff to consider at a future meeting.
At its meeting on 22 February 2017 all 12 Board members agreed with recommendations in Agenda Paper 2C on the remaining sweep issues. Board members did not raise any other topics for consideration at a future meeting.
Redesignation of financial assets

C11 At the beginning of the earliest period presented, when an entity first applies this [draft] Standard, it is permitted, but not required:

(a) to redesignate a financial asset as measured at fair value through profit or loss if that financial asset meets the condition in paragraph 4.1.5 of IFRS 9, as applicable, at the date when the entity first applies this [draft] Standard.

(b) if the entity has previously applied IFRS 9:

(i) to designate an investment in an equity instrument as at fair value through other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 of IFRS 9; or

(ii) to revoke a previous designation of an investment in an equity instrument as at fair value through other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 of IFRS 9.

C12 An entity is required to revoke previous designations of financial assets as measured at fair value through profit or loss if the initial application of this [draft] Standard eliminates the accounting mismatch that led to that previous designation.

At its meeting on 22 January 2015 the Board tentatively decided to confirm the transition relief proposals in the 2013 ED that, on the initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard:

a. an entity is permitted to newly designate financial assets under the fair value option as measured at fair value through profit or loss to eliminate (or significantly reduce) an accounting mismatch according to paragraph 4.1.5 of IFRS 9;

b. an entity is required to revoke previous fair value option designations for financial assets if the accounting mismatch that led to the previous designation according to paragraph 4.1.5 of IFRS 9 no longer exists; and

c. an entity is permitted to newly designate an investment in an equity instrument as measured at fair value through other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 of IFRS 9 and is permitted to revoke previous designations.

The Board tentatively decided to consider providing further transition relief to permit or require an entity to reassess the business model for financial assets at the date of initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard. This reassessment would be based on the conditions for assessing the business model in paragraphs 4.1.2(a) or 4.1.2A(a) of IFRS 9 and the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of the first application of the new insurance contracts Standard. The Board agreed not to consider deferring the mandatory effective date of IFRS 9 for entities that issue insurance contracts.

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that when an entity first applies the new insurance contracts Standard:

a. the entity is permitted, but not required, to newly assess the business model for managing financial assets that are accounted for in accordance with IFRS 9;

b. that such an assessment of the business model for managing financial assets would apply only to financial assets that an entity designates as related to contracts within the scope of IFRS 4 Insurance Contracts (IFRS 4) or within the scope of the new insurance contracts Standard;

c. if the entity newly assesses the business model for managing financial assets, or designates or de-designates financial assets under the fair value option (FVO) or the other comprehensive income (OCI) presentation election for investments in...
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equity instruments (together ‘transition reliefs’), that entity should apply those transition reliefs based on the facts and circumstances that exist on the date of initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard; that is, at the beginning of the latest period presented, and 
d. the entity should apply the classifications resulting from the transition reliefs retrospectively (ie as if the financial assets had always been so classified) and the cumulative effect of any changes in classification and measurement of financial assets that result from applying those transition reliefs should be recognised in the opening balance of retained earnings or accumulated OCI.

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided to confirm the proposal in the 2013 Exposure Draft Insurance Contracts (‘the 2013 ED’) that, on first application of the new insurance contracts Standard, all entities are required to restate comparative information about insurance contracts. The Board also tentatively decided that on first application of the new insurance contracts Standard, an entity that has previously applied IFRS 9 and chooses to apply any of the transition reliefs for the classification and measurement of financial assets is permitted (but not required) to restate comparative information about those financial assets only if it is possible without hindsight.

At its meeting on 21 October 2015, the Board tentatively decided that:

a. when an entity applies the transition relief for the assessment of the business model for managing financial assets, the entity should disclose its policy for designating financial assets to which that transition relief is applied;
b. when the classification and measurement of financial assets changes as a result of applying any of the transition reliefs in the new insurance contracts Standard, an entity should disclose for those financial assets by class:
   i. the measurement category and carrying amount immediately before the first application of the new insurance contracts Standard;
   ii. the new measurement category and carrying amount determined as a result of applying the transition provisions in the new insurance contracts Standard;
   iii. the amount of any financial assets in the statement of financial position that were previously designated under the FVO but are no longer so designated, distinguishing between those that an entity was required to de-designate and those that an entity elected to de-designate;
   iv. qualitative information that would enable users of financial statements to understand how an entity applied the transition provisions in the new insurance contracts Standard to those financial assets whose classification has changed as a result of initially applying that standard, including:
      1. the reasons for any designation or de-designation of
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This [draft] Standard supersedes IFRS 4, issued in 2004.

financial assets under the FVO; and
2. an explanation of why the entity came to a different conclusion in the new assessment of its business model.